

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Medicinal Plants</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJMP_122926</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>In vitro anti-Plasmodium activity of combined extracts from Toddalia Asiatica and Carica papaya.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have done great research considering the global challenge posed by malaria infection. While the scientific community continue to experience difficulty in malaria vaccine production and drug resistance, researches of this nature are no doubt very essential in contributing knowledge that might eventually lead to breakthrough in malaria treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not well presented as authors did not capture result details of the research. Authors should capture key result summary of all experimental tables in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sections and subsections are okay. However, authors should include description of study area as the first sub-section under materials and methods	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very well written with a detailed background to the study stating rational of the study. The methods use were explained in detail and very clearly presented, which enable the study to be re-conducted anywhere. All findings were reported clearly, completely and accurately, thus showing indicating the technical and robust nature of the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are okay	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is suitable for publication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors should always remember to italicize all scientific names and also maintain consistency and accuracy in writing scientific names. Example is the issue of "asiatica and asitica" seen throughout the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cornelius Orjighjigh Ishar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria</b>