

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_120238
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Knowledge of preventive measures against select vector borne diseases among communities in Anambah State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>This title effectively reflects the content of your research, indicating the geographical focus, the topic of study (prevalence and knowledge of preventive measures), and the diseases being vector-borne.</p> <p>Perhaps this title will be clear -"Vector-Borne Diseases in Anambra State, Nigeria: A Study of Community Knowledge and Disease Prevalence" - This version clearly states what the study is about and what it measures.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of your article provides a succinct overview of the study's background, objective, methods, results, and conclusions. However, there are opportunities to enhance clarity and impact, ensuring it captures all critical aspects of the study while remaining concise. Here are some suggestions for revising the abstract:</p> <p>Abstract Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Clarify the significance of understanding vector-borne diseases (VBDs) in the context of Anambra State. Consider adding a brief note on the specific diseases studied if space allows, particularly if malaria and lymphatic filariasis are the primary focus. 2. Objective: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The objective is clear, but you could briefly specify which vector-borne diseases are included if not all common ones are covered. 3. Methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The description is generally clear but consider specifying the nature of the data analysis briefly—mentioning the specific statistical tests provides insight into the complexity of the analysis but may be too detailed for an abstract. Instead, you might state that "Quantitative data analysis was performed to identify significant correlations and trends." 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Abstract - I just mentioned earlier</p> <p>Introduction: This section should clearly define the research problem, review relevant literature, and state the study's specific aims or hypotheses</p> <p>Methods: Detailed and well-structured</p> <p>Results: Use of visual aids (apart from tables and charts) to summarize data effectively is also recommended.</p> <p>Discussion Link Back to Objectives: Directly relate findings back to your study's objectives and hypotheses. Example- Begin your discussion by briefly restating the main objectives or hypotheses of your study. This serves as a reminder to the reader of what your study aimed to achieve or investigate. For example:</p> <p>"Our study aimed to assess the prevalence of malaria and lymphatic filariasis and the community's</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>knowledge of preventive measures against these diseases in Anambra State, Nigeria."</p> <p>Lastly, suggest areas for future research that could further explore unanswered questions or new questions raised by your findings. This shows that you are thinking critically about the limitations of your study and the scope for further investigation.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Clear Objectives and Hypotheses The study adheres to ethical standards The study employs a well-structured community-based cross-sectional design, utilizing multistage sampling to ensure a representative sample of the population in Anambra State, Nigeria.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Recent studies would be better for comparison of the current situation</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured with clear sections for the Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusions, which are typical in scholarly articles.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Your manuscript on vector-borne diseases in Anambra State, Nigeria, is a commendable effort toward understanding a critical public health issue.</p> <p>Data Presentation: Consider adding more visual aids like charts or graphs to depict key findings more vividly. Visual data representation can help in quickly communicating complex information and enhancing reader engagement.</p> <p>Including a few case studies or personal anecdotes from the surveyed communities could illustrate the human impact of vector-borne diseases, making the study more relatable and impactful.</p> <p>Based on your findings, specific policy recommendations could be offered to local health authorities. This would not only enhance the manuscript's practical value but also position it as a catalyst for change.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lakshmi Jyothi T
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India