

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_122634
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Iron Status of Hypertensive Subjects in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of this manuscript is important for the scientific community. As Hypertension is a very huge problem of Public health importance, like the research work. Generally we find high iron levels in hypertensive patients attending the health facilities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternative Title: Patterns of iron biomarkers among hypertensive individuals in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Methodology: The research involved 246 consenting participants: 74 normotensive individuals and 172 hypertensive patients. Serum iron, ferritin, transferrin, and total iron-binding capacity were measured using standard methods. Mention the standard methods also	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	60 per cent of this manuscript may be considered scientifically robust and technically sound. The article includes all the necessary subtitles and are in order. Almost all the references are recent. Checked for Plagiarism	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Looks sufficient and most of the references are recent	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Would be better if the language quality of the article is improved for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Article may be published with the corrections already suggested	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. C. Vasantha Mallika
Department, University & Country	Travancore Medical College, India