

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_122291
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Therapeutic Potential of Phytochemicals in Autoimmune Diseases: A Systematic Literature Review
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific community will benefit greatly from this manuscript. Traditional mediciner or other researcher can gathers information and examines the medicinal potential of phytochemicals in treating autoimmune diseases. The review provides a thorough summary that may direct future investigations and clinical procedures by combining the evidence from several studies. Addressing the unmet needs of people with autoimmune disorders calls for a special focus on alternative medicines that may have fewer adverse effects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by adhering to a rigorous systematic review methodology, including the use of the PICO framework and registration on a recognized platform like INPLASY. The comprehensive search across multiple databases and the inclusion of randomized controlled trials, clinical trials, and observational studies ensure a robust analysis of the available evidence. The review article may include the phytochemical extraction, isolation technique, antimicrobial activity, and which active compound found from which plant or animal should be reviewed. Those things may robust this article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	No , some references are not outdated	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Q1. The author is not stated clearly the methodology Q2. The phytochemical screening test method and active compound identification method is not reviewed Q3, the active compounds or phytochemical are not reviewed, from which plant or animal parts are found in Q3, the phytochemical vs comparators are not clearly compared. The overall comments, the article is needed to include/add the above points	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yassin Adem Endris
Department, University & Country	Wollo University, Kmbolcha Institute of Technology, Ethiopia