

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_122148
Title of the Manuscript:	Behind the Screens: A Critical Analysis of the Roles of Guilds and Associations in Standardizing Contracts, Wages, and Enforcing Professionalism Amongst Players in the Entertainment Industry
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Peer Review Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure the sources of the qualitative data are clearly identified and their significance to each goal is apparent. Outlining the selection criteria for the documents examined in the qualitative component could be helpful. 2. Explain the inclusion of specific factors (such years of experience and educational attainment) in some models and not in others. If several predictors are associated, take into consideration resolving possible multicollinearity difficulties. 3. Give further details about the findings' comparison to the body of existing literature. Discuss the significance of any potential shortcomings in the data or methodology on the study's results. 4. Make sure that the text provides references to and explanations of all tables and figures. Verify that the formatting and labeling are consistent. 5. Give a clearer explanation of the findings' theoretical contributions and applications. Based on the outcomes, think about recommending certain policy or practice changes. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Kiran Menghani</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Vedanta College, India</p>