

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJDS_122076</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Complex central odontogenic fibroma: A literature update on a clinical case</b>
Type of the Article	Case Report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>I like this manuscript for several reasons. In particular, the clinical case images are excellently presented. This pathology is quite rare, so it really needs maximum coverage in articles of this type. Microscopic images are excellent and can confirm the diagnosis and rule out other similar bone pathologies.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article should be extended to 1,800 characters including keywords. In particular, the abstract should include a description and features of the clinical case.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because of presence of good description of the interesting clinical case with treatment and histopathological results. The quality of the images allows readers to learn many nuances in the diagnosis and treatment of similar rare cases. The scientific data of this manuscript are sufficient to reveal the specifics of the treatment of this pathology.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>	<p>The references are good, but not enough</p> <p><i>the following article is worthy of inclusion in the "Differential Diagnosis" section of this manuscript:</i></p> <p>Babkina TM, Shekera OG, Storozhchuk YO, Telukha KI, Nozhenko OA, Fesenko II, Zaritska VI, Snisarevskyi PP. Gigantic dentigerous cysts associated with the ectopic/impacted upper third molars: computed tomography analysis of nasolacrimal duct and osteomeatal complex obstructions and review of literature. J Diagn Treat Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2020;4(5):79–94.  <a href="https://doi.org/10.23999/j.dtomp.2020.5.1">https://doi.org/10.23999/j.dtomp.2020.5.1</a></p> <p><i>and another following article is worthy of inclusion in the "Differential Diagnosis" or "Discussion" section of this manuscript:</i></p> <p>Aldana H, Orozco M, Ordoñez L, Estrada CI, Mosquera C. Trabecular juvenile ossifying fibroma management by virtual surgical planning, piezoelectric surgery, and simultaneous patient specific implant (PSI) reconstruction. J Diagn Treat Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2020;4(2):39–48.  <a href="https://doi.org/10.23999/j.dtomp.2020.2.6">https://doi.org/10.23999/j.dtomp.2020.2.6</a></p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The acronym CT should be deciphered (computed tomography [CT]) in the first place (Section "Diagnosis") of the article where there is a mention of CT.</li><li>2. In the "Clinical Case" Section, decipher which type of CT was used, multi slice or cone-beam computed tomography.</li><li>3. In Figure 3, indicate the letters B and C on the histopathologic images, <i>arrows</i> indicate the corresponding structure, to indicate magnification and staining in the description of the Figure 3.</li><li>4. I consider it appropriate to slightly expand the "Discussion" Section.</li><li>5. The "Authors' Contribution" Section needs to be included after the "Conclusions" Section. I am attaching a sample design: Authors' contribution: J.P., I.S. and M.T. - conceptualization, J.P., M.T., P.Đ., I.Č. and I.S. - writing-original draft preparation, J.P., M.T. and I.S. – editing, J.P., P.Đ. and I.S. - visualization, P.Đ. and I.S. - supervision. All authors read the text and agreed to the published version.</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	levgen Fesenko
Department, University & Country	Kyiv Medical University, Ukraine