

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123922
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF RICE GENOTYPES FOR WATER AND SALINITY STRESS TOLERANCE
Type of the Article	Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is poorly prepared requiring major revisions before publication. The research aspect is good, the author needs to work on the presentation, delivery and follow the guidelines of the journal before resending the article.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the title is suitable for the article.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The authors need to work on the language for the abstract. Try to include a bit background rather than directly jump in the research. It builds more connection with the audience.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, they are appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The researchers have used proper lab experimentation setup for conducting the research with CRD as their experimental design. The outcome of the results is good and well aligned. The measurement of the results is done scientifically following all the guidelines. So, it sounds technically fine and scientifically robust</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inconsistent with the reference style. Correct it. For example: The first and second references have different format.</li> <li>2. The reference format also doesn't match with that of journals format requirement. Author needs to check it before submission.</li> <li>3. Also, use the latest researches as there have been many researches in the abiotic stress in rice.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but needs few works on the flow of the initial part of the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript needs major revision before being fit to be published. Some of the researches author can read for learning more about stress in rice are mentioned below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13744">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13744</a></li> <li>2. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814332-2.00009-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814332-2.00009-5</a></li> <li>3. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814332-2.00009-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814332-2.00009-5</a></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Utsav Bhandari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Utah State University, U.S.A.</b>