

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_124885
Title of the Manuscript:	THE FINANCIAL PATH TO URBAN DEVELOPMENT: MUNICIPAL REVENUES AND CAPITAL OUTLAY
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This research topic is contemporary and the results of the study will be the guidelines for the local governments. Capital outlay analysis is very important for local governments to find out the effectiveness of capital investment locally.</p> <p>The topic of the article is contemporary and the results of the study will be the guidelines for the local governments.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>In my view, author has to add explanations of methodology and numerical results in short in the abstract of the article.</p> <p>From the view point of reviewer, author has to make short explanations of methods, and numerical results in short in the abstract of the article.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Subsections to be added according to the variables used in the article. Variables are not clearly stated in this article.</p> <p>Study variables must be clearly explained.</p>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Minor corrections are never ending process. The manuscript of this article is scientifically robust and it is technically sound.</p> <p>The manuscript of this article is scientifically robust and technically sound. It is technically sound.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Most of the references are recent but not sufficient. References must be follow the recent version of APA.</p> <p><b>Some recent references are to be added.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language (English) used in this article is of very simple sentences. It is suitable for scholarly communications.</p> <p>The language used in this article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shankar Prasad Upadhyay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Makawanpur Multiple Campus, Nepal</b>