

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124696
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Nano urea and nano DAP on growth and productivity of sunflower ( <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.) during kharif season
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important from a practical point of view, as it focuses on reducing waste by using chemical fertilizers in large quantities through partial or complete replacement with nano-fertilizer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The best way to shorten the title is as follows: Influence of Nano urea and Nano DAP on Growth and Productivity of Sunflower ( <i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is preferable to add the most important conclusion of the manuscript at the end of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I mentioned earlier that the importance of this manuscript revolves around significantly reducing the waste of adding fertilizers, especially urea fertilizer, which has negative effects on the environment and groundwater, by using nano-fertilizers. It is noted that the number of transactions was large, and this was reflected in giving important results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the last part of the introduction there is information that must be mentioned in the references from which this information was obtained. There is a reference (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) mentioned in the manuscript but not mentioned in the list of references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, with some minor errors in some phrases within the manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saddam Hakeem Cheyed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Iraq</b>