

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_124422
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Therapeutic Potential of Methanolic Stem Extract of Costus afer on Serum Uric Acid, Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-<math>\alpha</math>), and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) Levels in Monosodium urate (MSU)-Induced Gouty Arthritis in Wistar Rats</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific readers as the study explores alternative therapeutic approach derived from natural sources, which can offer safer and more effective treatments in comparison to conventional traetments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The entire abstract is highlighting animal activity. Phytochemical results are lacking. It is not in line to the flow of the manuscript. Needs revision.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In section 2.2: The extraction procedure needs elaborative explanation. Authors have not mentioned by which method they have extracted.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In section 2.5: Experimental Design- On what basis the authors have selected low,medium and high concentration of extracts?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some addition of recent references is needed. Replace old references with recent articles.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors must improvise the language.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction does not provide information about the plant and extract, authors need to add literature on the physicochemical components and their effectiveness in the introduction section.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kishori P. Sutar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KLE College of Pharmacy, India</b>