

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_124048
Title of the Manuscript:	An Observational Study on Clinical Benefits of CytoSorb in Patients with Severe Sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since sepsis is a life threatening condition, removal of inflammatory mediators can help to mitigate SIRS associated with severe sepsis and hence save life of patient.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is comprehensive, but I suggest to use background at the beginning in state of aim since it imply the objective of the study which is not the place	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsection and structure were both appropriate and clear Because of the clarity and specificity of research question, choosing of observational study design method provide a valuable real world relevant to clinical practice	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Are sufficient	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Article is suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No any ethical issues	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yousif Ali Ahmed Suleiman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Adan Hospital, Kuwait</b>