

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124887
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF METHANE YIELD FROM CONTINUOUS AND BATCH FERMENTATIVE PROCESSING OF SELECTED AGROWASTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author in this manuscript has performed experiment for fermentation of 3 types of agro waste i.e. Cassava peels, Maize husk and pig slurry. Fermentation process was observed for 45 days. Gas produced from this agro waste mainly consists of ethane and methane.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is enough comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please provide details of biogas plant. Author is requested to mention details i.e parameters, input etc. of batch and continues process. Please provide w/v % for composite.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, But, more recent references can be added.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is moderate.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jinesh B. Shah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Atmiya University, India</b>