

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124717
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF STORAGE STABILITY ON QUALITY OF SAPOTA FLAKES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the title is of significant importance as Sapota (Chikoo) is an important and perishable fruit native to most parts of India. The idea of value addition of Sapota is very attractive and useful to avoid its losses enhance its economic and nutritional value.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No the title is not suitable. Suggested title: "Assessing the Impact of Storage Conditions on the Quality of Sapota Flakes"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No the abstract needs complete revision by inserting numerical results in it and make comparison between different storage treatments more strong and concise. Further, please describe the significant findings of the study so as to catch the reader's attention.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and manuscript is not properly formatted. Sentence structuring and grammar weak so it should be revised completely.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript displays good idea in scientific field but the study has not been justified in writing so needs thorough transformation through revision. Studies have been executed with proper planning and treatment plan is also very ill-formatted. Results and discussion section is poorly written and no justifications gave been provided against the outcomes & findings of the current study. Conclusion is missing just like the future prospects of the current research study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are outdated and very old. Number of references are also less as per international standards depending upon the nature of the article and work done.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications and needs improvement.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tauqeer Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Pakistan