

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofAdvancesin Biology&Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_124673
TitleoftheManuscript:	Traitassociationstudiesto determineselectionindicesin F5segregatingpopulationsofrice(OryzasativaL.)
TypeoftheArticle	Researcharticle

PART 1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback (Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportanceofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydoyoulike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	The subject of the study presented by the authors is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance.	
Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, in relation to the topic addressed	
Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? Doyou suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The article Abstract is comprehensive and the keywords are representative of the article topic	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, in accordance with the General Guideline for Authors, Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The introduction is presented appropriately, in accordance with the subject of the study. Numerous scientific articles have been consulted. Methodology of the study was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives. The obtained results are important, and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology. The discussions are appropriate, in the context of the obtained results. The results were analyzed and discussed compared to other bibliographic sources in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The scientific literature, to which the reporting was made, is representative in the field.	
Minor REVISIONcomments		
Isthe language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language/quality of the article is suitable for academic communications, and the article is accessible to readers.	

ReviewForm3

Optional/General comments	The s ubject of the study is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance. The introduction is presented correctly, in accordance with the subject. Methodology of the s tudy was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives . The obtained res ults are important and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florin Sala
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Romania