

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124487
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Three Doses of COLAM 247 SC in the Management of Cabbage Insect Pests in Western Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea is very important in the subject of insecticide concentration evaluation under field conditions. And choosing mixture product to evaluate toxicity is very urgent to judge insecticide choosing when pest resurgence suddenly in important crop fields.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written very well and the body of the article written very well	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection arranged and explained very well	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article contains some mistakes : the word molecule can be replaced by product. And some words must be deleted (2.3.1. Experimental set-up (Arial, Bold, 10 font, left aligned, underlined), may be the author forgot or submitted an old save of the document. The dose of insecticide he applied was not clear and must be corrected it ..if it is in hectare or the plot equal 25 m ² . This mistake proves that the last correction of the article wasn't submitted by the author.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language I think is suitable and perfect	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Salah El-Din Taha
Department, University & Country	Egypt