

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124487
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Three Doses of COLAM 247 SC in the Management of Cabbage Insect Pests in Western Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Idea of study is proper. In this work, the authors proposed an interesting study in using Comparative Efficacy of Three Doses of COLAM 247 SC in the Management of Cabbage Insect Pests. The findings of this study suggested that the More than 80% of Aphids populations was reduced by the three doses of Colam 247 SC and K-optimal. The author recommended that Colam 247 SC at the dose of 0.15 l/ha can be used by farmers as an additional insecticide in the control of cabbage insect pests.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable with a little modification. Prefer to add common name of the pesticide and the pest names.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written, technically correct, and follows the expected article structure. Results obtained are relevant for the research field with an important contribution to pest control. The background provides support for the research question evaluated and the experimental design is correct. The results are relevant and obtained according to the expected experimental design with accuracy, supporting the conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are sufficient.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The research contains all the necessary elements and is relevant to the topic in question.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamdi Shaaban Abd El-Karim
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt