

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_124762
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal activity of Antsbush (<i>Struchium sparganophora</i>): a future remedy for candidiasis and cryptococcosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents significant findings regarding the antifungal properties of <i>Struchium sparganophora</i> leaf extracts, particularly in the context of rising antifungal resistance. I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough experimental design and clear presentation of results, which underscore the therapeutic potential of a traditionally used plant. The identification of effective concentrations and comparative analysis with standard antifungals provide a solid foundation for further research and potential clinical applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title conveys the focus of the study on antifungal properties and highlights the potential applications for treating specific infections.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The background section could be more concise. Instead of combining two aims into one sentence, consider separating them for clarity. Results & Conclusion written very well (dense with numerical data)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	provide a clearer understanding of the experimental design. The numerical data may be beneficial to summarize key findings in a more digestible format, perhaps by highlighting only the most significant results or including a brief comparison to fluconazole in a separate sentence. Give potential applications in clinical settings or suggestions for future research directions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	findings are consistent with existing literature, which enhances their credibility and suggests a potential therapeutic role for <i>S. sparganophora</i> against infections like cryptococcosis. Furthermore, the study's exploration of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and the comparison with established antifungals like fluconazole and ketoconazole underscore the importance of these extracts in addressing antifungal resistance, making a compelling case for further research in this area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manish Kamble
Department, University & Country	RTMNU, India