

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_124762
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal activity of Antsbush (<i>Struchium sparganophora</i>): a future remedy for candidiasis and cryptococcosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Antifungal activity of Antsbush (<i>Struchium sparganophora</i>): a future remedy for candidiasis and cryptococcosis" presents a significant contribution to the scientific community by exploring a potential new therapeutic option against fungal infections. This study highlights the importance of alternative treatments derived from natural sources. By demonstrating the antifungal properties of <i>Struchium sparganophora</i>, the research paves the way for the development of new antifungal agents, which could be invaluable for patients facing these difficult-to-treat infections.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article, "Antifungal activity of Antsbush (<i>Struchium sparganophora</i>): a future remedy for candidiasis and cryptococcosis", is generally suitable as it clearly conveys the main focus of the study. However, it could be refined to enhance clarity and attract a broader scientific audience. For example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Antifungal Potential of Antsbush (<i>Struchium sparganophora</i>): A Promising Candidate for Treating Candidiasis and Cryptococcosis" 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Grammatical mistakes need to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>"All sections and the overall structure of the manuscript are well organized, ensuring a logical flow and clarity throughout the document."</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The study employs a variety of solvent extracts to assess the antifungal activity, ensuring that the results are not biased by a single extraction method. Moreover, the research includes comparative analysis with standard antifungal agents, which strengthens the validity of the findings by providing a benchmark for efficacy. The use of appropriate controls and the detailed presentation of results further support the scientific integrity of the study, making it a reliable contribution to the field of antifungal research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Review and correct the format of Reference. Ensure it adheres to the journal's style guidelines by including all required information.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The overall language quality is adequate; however, there are some grammatical errors. I recommend revising certain sentences for better readability and ensuring that scientific terms are used consistently.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The species and genus names should be written in italics throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>It is necessary to correlate the observed activities with findings from previously reported studies.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Raza Sidhu
Department, University & Country	Dr. M. A. Kazi Institute of Chemistry, University of Sindh, Pakistan