Original Research Article

Assessment of socioeconomic vulnerability in a few selected villages in Gosaba Block of Sundarban, India by Artificial Neural Network

ABSTRACT:

The Indian Sundarbans represent an endangered ecosystem with a distinct biogeographical composition, susceptible to natural disasters like storms, floods, and cyclones, hence jeopardizing its socioeconomic systems due to environmental stresses. This study aimed to evaluate the present socioeconomic vulnerability, identify key factors that exacerbate this vulnerability, and validate these factors using an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) prediction model in selected villages with 160 households in the Gosaba Block, located on the periphery of the Sundarban. The present study employed an integrated vulnerability approach, calculating the exposure, adaptive capacity, and sensitivity index by weighting the initial eigenvalues of each indicator with a variance percentage through principal components analysis (PCA). Based on this criterion, 156 households (97.5%) exhibited an extremely high vulnerability score, while 4 households (2.5%) displayed a moderate vulnerability grade.The villages of Pakhiralay and Lahiripur exhibit significant vulnerability, with a markedly deficient adaptive capacity. The villages of Mathurakhand, Kumirmari, and Satjelia exhibit vulnerability alongside moderate adaptation capacity. All are priority villages, however Village with moderate adaptive capacity, if get adequate interventions can be moderate to less vulnerable.A policy initiative should prioritize the enhancement existing social and financial capital, facilitate access to local government, and promote community-oriented activities.

Keywords: Vulnerability Index; exposure;adaptive capacity; sensitivity;Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

1. INTRODUCTION

The expected consequences of climate change are forecasted to have substantial impacts on both societies and economies [1].The vulnerability of households, particularly in rural areas, is profoundly influenced by prevailing climate shocks and stresses (Manandhar et al., 2011; Shah et al., 2013; Sujakhu, 2018)[2,3,4]. Vulnerability results from the interaction of biophysical factors, such as climatic exposure, along with the system's sensitivity and adaptive capacity [3].The vulnerability of rural households is ascribed to a combination of economic, environmental, and social factors, together with their exposure to climatic extremes and gradual climatic changes[5,6]. Vulnerability can be assessed by analyzing the interaction between physical and social systems through diverse approaches. The identification of suitable site-specific indicators is essential for addressing intricate issues in vulnerability assessment[7]. Numerous researchers have employed various methodologies to assess vulnerability, including the gap method[8], the human development index [9], the composite vulnerability index [10], the sustainable livelihood security index [11], and fuzzy logic [12]. Index-based vulnerability analysis enables explicit vulnerability assessment through the integration of several indicators that signify different vulnerability scenarios.These indicators have been widely employed by researchers as effective tools for policymaking [13,14].The social and economic conditions of a region determine its vulnerability to hazards[14]. The scientific community has begun to develop concepts on vulnerability assessment and adaptation to climate change[15]. In the 1970s, scientific engineering and technical methodologies predominated in vulnerability assessment, whereas the 1980s saw a shift towards social science-oriented approaches for the same purpose. The prior method was employed to examine vulnerability in part; however, it was ultimately replaced by a human-centric approach that considered institutional, social, cultural, environmental, and economic aspects[16,17]. A considerable quantity of research on social vulnerability assessment employed a semi-quantitative approach grounded in spatial, socioeconomic, demographic, and field-derived factors[18].The index-based disaster resilience assessment is an essential component of natural hazard management and planning. Indices facilitate the assessment of changes induced by hazards and the identification of priority areas of concern through inductive, deductive, qualitative, and quantitative techniques[19]. Acknowledging that the susceptibility of a specific location or system is determined by both exterior physical parameters and internal socio-economic factors, we choose to develop a vulnerability index. This index is derived from the vulnerability criteria established by the IPCC and use an indicators-based methodology to assess the socio-economic and physical determinants of vulnerability. The IPCC characterizes vulnerability to climate change and variability as consisting of three essential components: exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are capable of identifying intricate patterns in data sets that computational formulas cannotdiscern [20, 21, 22, 23,24].Moreover, it generates dependable predictions in the presence of noisy and ambiguous data [22,23].Consequently, ANN may provide highly precise classified vulnerability maps derived from intricate interactions.To create an ANN structure based on specific research indicators, it must be trained. Training ANN requires a suitable selection of training parameters[24,25,26]. The primary restriction of an ANN is its efficiency, which is significantly influenced by the training method and network architecture. Regrettably, there are yet no standards established to delineate both network characteristics. The ideal and optimal network can be ascertained using a trial and error methodology [27,28,29,30,31]. While the fundamental groundwork for developing the required abilities and policies for climate-resilient development has been established at the national level, there is still a lack of comprehensive studies and scientific input on the impacts and vulnerability of climate change, particularly at the local level. Local governments and communities play a crucial role in adapting to climate change by organizing strategies to address local effects, facilitating communication between individual and communal efforts to reduce susceptibility, and overseeing the distribution of resources to support adaptation[32] Conducting a spatial vulnerability assessment at a local level might be a valuable tool for researchers and local stakeholders to communicate with each other. This evaluation involves visualizing climate vulnerability and integrating both its physical and socio-economic factors [33]. Therefore, the main aim of this paper is to elucidate the principal elements that exacerbate vulnerability using an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) prediction model. Furthermore, we aimed to authenticate this evaluation utilizing ANN, which will facilitate productive discussions among researchers, local authorities, and stakeholders. This will ultimately enhance comprehensive evaluations and the formulation of successful adaption plans.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 STUDY AREA

The Indian Sundarbans are situated in the state of West Bengal on the eastern coast of India. The Indian Sundarbans refers to the 19-block region including the two districts of West Bengal: North 24 Parganas (6 blocks) and South 24 Parganas (13 blocks).The terrain is located inside the recently established delta system formed by the Ganga, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers. The region's average elevation is significantly low, with the islands generally ranging from 3 to 8 meters in height and being entirely inundated during tidal surges [34].We selected the villages of Gosaba Block as our study region because of their favorable geographical position. In the Indian portion, the villages are situated adjacent to the Sundarban Reserve Forest (SRF) and in the midst of an interconnected system of creeks and intermittent rivers.**(Figure 1).** The region is delineated to the west by the River Bidya and to the east by the Rivers Gomar and Raimangal [35] .The 2011 Census data reveals that the villages of Mathurakhand, Pakhiralay, Satjelia, Lahiripur, and Kumirmari, situated within the Bali I, Rangabelia, Satjelia, Lahiripur, and Kumirmari Gram Panchayats, cover areas of 7.85, 4.79, 9.65, 8.51, and 20.20 square kilometers, respectively, serving populations of 3,826, 3,946, 8,757, 6,851, and 17,451 individuals. A considerable number of settlements in the study region are located near both the Sundarban Reserve Forest (SRF) and the Sundarban Mangrove Forest (SMF).



Figure 1: Location Map of Study Area,Gosaba Block

2.2 METHODOLOGY

The present study employs a descriptive-analytic method and uses survey data obtained from households in the selected study area. In the very initial phase of village selection in the Gosaba Block, multistage cluster sampling was implemented. Initially, the Block's GPs were divided into two strata: adjacent to the forest edge and connected to the mainland. Villages have been selected from each tier using a simple random sampling lottery method.Our sample households (n=160) came from the villages of Mathurakhand (22), Pakhiralay (39), Satjelia (38), Lahiripur (28), and Kumirmari (33).The sampled households were chosen based on a 95% confidence level that the true value is within ±5% of the measured/surveyed value. Households were sampled in proportion to their population size. The demographic data of the respondents show that the average age is 45 years.The survey results demonstrate that 85.62% of individuals identified as male, while 14.38% identified as female. The average household size indicated by respondents was 4.26 members, with 49% of the households being below the poverty line (BPL).

2.2.1 THE OVERALL VULNERABILITY INDEX

Scholars have employed a range of indicators to quantify the extent of vulnerability and vulnerability necessitates evaluation and policy implementation. [36,7,37,38,39,40]Vulnerability is a key aspect in determining whether individuals face livelihood risks. IPPC [41] defines vulnerability assessment as a measure of a community's ability to respond to hazards and safeguard its livelihood. The index serves as a comparative tool among communities. The vulnerability index (LVI) was established by Hahn, Riederer, and Foster , Madhuri, Thewari, and Bhowmick ,Simane, Zaitchik, and Foltz and Richardson et al. [7,42,43,44].This study examined socioeconomic vulnerability in selected villages of Gosaba Block by employing its main elements and site-specific sub-indicators. Key variables, including environmental, economic, and social factors, were utilized to assess the levels of exposure, adaptive ability, and sensitivity. Exposure was evaluated by indicators including the nature and magnitude of local environmental change concerning possible threats (frequent cyclones, storm surges, severe rainfall, coastal erosion, riverbank erosion, embankment failure, and rising temperatures) within our community. Adaptive capacity was evaluated through indicators including primary household income sources, income derived from remittances, agricultural and livestock profit generation, absolute distance to markets, household earning status, the establishment of social networks to sustain social safety nets against environmental challenges, and the nature of trust among neighbors. Sensitivity was evaluated through the indicators of sex, respondent age, duration of residence in the region, family composition (both male and female),number of dependent members on earning members, , as well as electricity, water security, stability, and cleanliness within the locality. Age, gender, class, and economic status are more important when considering disaster risk reduction[45]. Social variables such as education level, income, and the disabled population are important indicators for risk mitigation [46].

Principal components analysis (PCA) is a statistical method used to determine the optimum linear combinations that accurately represent the data found in a wide variety of variables. Kaiser[47] proposed the "eigenvalue-greater-than-one" criteria as a method for creating composite indices with PCA. This rule specifies that the number of reliable factors is equal to the number of eigenvalues that are greater than one.Exposure,Adaptivecapacity, Sensitivity Index were formulated by weightage of each indicatorinitial eigenvalues with percentage of variance.This research implements an integrated vulnerability method, as proposed by Madu [48] and used by Tesso et al. [49] in Ethiopia, that combines socio-economic and biophysical aspects to create vulnerability indices for each household. Households with more adaptation capacity are deemed less susceptible to the effects of climate-induced pressures, sustaining a uniform level of exposure in this study. The integrated assessment methodology employs socioeconomic and biophysical methods to determine vulnerability. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [50] established the vulnerability index, defined as the aggregate of adaptive capacity (socio-economic) and sensitivity/exposure (biophysical):

Vulnerability = (Adaptive capacity) – (Sensitivity+ Exposure)……Equation 1

A household is less vulnerable to the consequences of climate change when its adaptation capacity exceeds its sensitivity and exposure, and vice versa. Exposure and sensitivity were both assigned negative values for establishing the direction of association, or sign, of vulnerability indicators. The reasoning is that, assuming ongoing adaptive capacity, households with high exposure to climate shocks are more susceptible to damage. As a result, lower vulnerability lower vulnerability is indicated by a greater net value and vice versa. On the other hand, the scale of analysis plays a crucial role in the index creation. Vulnerability analysis can be done at the local, household, or global levels, as Tesso et al. [49] pointed out based on Deressa et al. [51] and Brooks et al. [52] .Scale selection is determined by the goals, procedures, and accessibility of the data. Based on the value of their vulnerability index, the households in this study were divided into three categories: highly vulnerable, vulnerable, and less susceptible. On the other hand, the calculated index does not have an absolute value or rely on thresholds. It is a relative metric that expresses the households' own assessment of their prior level of adjustment in relation to other households. Each household in this case was assigned a category: (1) highly vulnerable, signifying a substantial negative difference between sensitivity/exposure and adaptive capacity; (2) moderately vulnerable, indicating that the difference between sensitivity/exposure and adaptive capacity is almost negligible.

2.2.2 ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK (ANN)

The accuracy of the multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network functions was tested using IBM SPSS 26. Artificial Neural Networks are computing algorithms that can tackle complex problems by simulating simplified animal brain processes[53].Three layers make up the neural network architecture used in this study. These layers are commonly referred to as an input layer, a hidden layer that describes the hidden neurons and covers radially symmetric functions and unsupervised learning, and an output layer with a categorical node that enables computation of the index class for the input pattern and the weighted sum from the hidden layer outputs.Data from various sources, including thematic sources, are fed into the input layer, which contains the neurons. The neurons rely on the number of input data sources. This input data is thoroughly processed in the hidden layers, initial output layers, and so on. Trial and error determines the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in each one [54,55,56,57,58].

In order to develop a model and determine which weight training dataset was utilized, the experiment involved randomly assigning the dataset's various partition rates—ANN1 = 70%–30%–0%, ANN2 = 80%–20%–0%, and ANN3 = 60%–40%–0%—for training, testing, and holdout. Testing data is used to find errors and prevent overtraining in training mode. The holdout data is used to validate the model. Only data from the training set was used, and all covariates were normalized using the formula (xmin)/(maxmin), whose values should be between 0 and 1. Variables used to build the ANN are based on exposure, adaptive capacity and sensitivity components along with associated variables of Gosabablock (**Table 1**).

Table 1
Variables used for Computing Vulnerability Index and ANN

Variable's code	Description of Measurement
Exposure component	
Variable Environment	nature and extent of local environmental change for the last 10 to 20 years, nature and extent of local environmental change for the last 10 to 20 years affecting livelihoods (measured on a scale of 1-7), potential environmental threats (frequent cyclones, storm surges, heavy rainfall, coastal erosion, riverbank erosion, embankment breaching, and increased temperature) in our community (1 as the least serious threat to 6 as the most serious threat).
Adaptive capacity Component	
Variable Economic	Main and Subsidiary sources of household income, distance to markets (absolute distance), income generation from remittances, profit generation from agriculture and livestock (on a scale of 1-7 building a social network to maintain the social safety net to fight against the environment, the nature of trust in neighbours (on a scale of 1-7).
Sensitivity Component	
Variable Social	Sex, age of the respondent, experiences of living in the area, number of family members (male and female), number of dependent members on earning members, power, water security and stability and sanitation in the locality(on a scale of 1-7).

Source: Computed by Authors

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 THE OVERALL VULNERABILITY INDEX

The aggregate vulnerability index was calculated by adding the composite vulnerability sub-indices for exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity (Equation 1).Based on this methodology, 156households (97.5%) exhibited an extremely high vulnerability index, whereas 4(2.5%) households demonstrated a moderate vulnerabilityindex. After the calculation of aggregate components value in exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity and composite vulnerability index for the200,240,160 and160 units of study for the Sagar,Kultali,Gosaba and Hingalganj Block respectively, the units were again categorised into four groups using Standard Deviation (SD) as an interval from 'mean' score. Therefore, further categorization of Household based on Vulnerability Index are Very High, High, Moderate, Low.70.63%, 25%, 3.13%,1.25% households exhibited the above mentioned vulnerability Index respectively **(Figure 2).**



Figure 2: Villagewise Degree of Vulnerability of Gosaba Block

The vulnerability analysis methods suggested by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [50] identified Mathurakhand, Pakhiralay, Satjelia, Lahiripur, and Kumirmari villages in Gosaba block as needing urgent attention to reduce vulnerability levels. These villages have shown a significant level of vulnerability and sensitivity with minimal adaptability. The priority villages situated at the forest fringe and are facing significant destruction from disasters.Nevertheless, the villages of Kumirmari, Mathurakhand, and Satjelia require appropriate intervention due to their higher adaptive capacity compared to other communities **(Figure 3).**



Figure 3: Schematic Representation of Exposure,Sensitivity and Adaptive Capacity of Gosaba Block

The proximity to markets , Dwelling years, Environmental changes impacting livelihood. Storm surges, Income generated from remittances, Water and sanitation security. Relying on neighbors, Age, Riverbank erosion. Primary source of household revenue, Income generated from agriculture and livestock.Family size and the establishment of social networks are are some of the Environmental Risk Variables and variables related to Livelihood conditions make Gosaba Block vulnerable.Adaptive capacity and vulnerability have an inverse relationship. Therefore, a village with a greater adaptation ability is more resilient to environmental pressures. Income and social security are factors that might be seen as variables affecting adaptive capacity. Increased income correlates with an increased likelihood that a family will be able to handle difficult circumstances. Efforts should focus on enhancing the adaptive ability in these areas by upgrading basic infrastructure, providing improved facilities, and enhancing transportation and communication.

The present research attempted to assess socioeconomic vulnerability in five villages in Gosaba Block. A field-based micro-level study helps us better comprehend the complexities of socioeconomic vulnerability. Data availability for a certain variable becomes a difficulty when undertaking a meso- or macro-level investigation using secondary data. The combination of local factors and family questionnaires allows researchers to investigate many facets of socioeconomic vulnerability[18]. While studies at the meso- or regional level [59,12]; and macro- or national level[60,61,62] assist in identifying regions of importance within a country, a village-level vulnerability assessment also provides the local government with information about place-based needs and priorities, allowing them to make location-specific decisions. Several studies have used the combination of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capability to estimate vulnerability[63,64,65,66]. The framework has been changed and adopted in accordance with the current study. Overall, settlements in the Indian Sundarbans remain vulnerable in terms of basic infrastructure. In this circumstance, any climate extremes will increase their susceptibility, perhaps resulting in the loss of livelihoods, properties, and even lives. To increase resilience, individuals should implement various tactics. To increase people's adaption, the welfare of the residents should be prioritized[67. Social capital has been shown to play a crucial role in adaptation [66]. The current study found that persons who had higher access to social and financial capital recovered faster.

3.2ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK (ANN)

The primary objective of this study was to assess the predictive capabilities of a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) neural network in determining the influence of environmental, economic and social variables of the componentsexposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity on the degree of vulnerability.ANN displays the number of neurons in each layer as well as the 23parameters.Automatic architecture selection assigned 209 nodes to the input layer, 10 nodes to the concealed layer, and 4 nodes to the output layer for coding the dependent variable degree of vulnerability. The activation function for the hidden layer was the hyperbolic tangent, while the output layer utilized the softmax function. Cross entropy was utilized as the error function due to the softmaxfunction.

As shown in, the summary for the designed models provides information regarding the training (and testing) and holdout samples.During the training phase, the neural network minimizes its error function. The**ANN1** model was found to have the lowest cross-entropy error (.008), indicating the model's ability to predict the influence of exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity on the degree of vulnerability. According to the research findings, the ANN1 model generated 3.2% and 7.1% of erroneous forecasts on the training and testing samples, respectively. The training procedure was carried out until one consecutive step occurred in which the error function did not decrease[68] .

Table 2displays a classification table (i.e. confusion matrix) for categorical dependent variable degree of vulnerability, by partition and overall. The predicted outcome by the ANN3 model for each case was defined as correct if the predicted probability was bigger than 0.5.The ANN1 network correctly classified 98.9%,96.6% of Predicted Very high,High,33.3% Moderate and 100% Low Degree of vulnerability measured by the four categories in the training data sample and 100%,87.5%,0%,0% Predicted Very high,High,33.3% Moderate and 100% Low Degree of vulnerability in the testing sample. Overall, the designed model ANN1 properly classified 96.8% of the training cases and 92.9% of testing cases.

Table 2
Survey sample classification of the ANN model

		Predicted				
Sample	Observed	Low	Moderate	High	Very High	Percent Correct
Training	Low	3	0	0	0	100.0%
	Moderate	0	1	1	1	33.3%
	High	1	0	28	0	96.6%
	Very High	0	0	1	90	98.9%
	Overall Percent	3.2%	0.8%	23.8%	72.2%	96.8%
Testing	Low	0	0	0	0	0.0%
	Moderate	0	0	1	0	0.0%
	High	0	0	7	1	87.5%
	Very High	0	0	0	19	100.0%
	Overall Percent	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	71.4%	92.9%

Dependent Variable: Degree of vulnerability
Source: Computed by Authors

The ANN1 model was validated by the ROC curve, which illustrated classification performance for all possible cut-offs in terms of sensitivity and specificity.The measures of Sensitivity and specificity for the designed ANN1, ANN2, and ANN3 were represented by Area under the curve (AUC), which displays the complete position of the ROC curve according to the two categories of the degree of vulnerability as the ANN study variable. The maximum AUC =.999, .992, .975 and 1.000 (ANN1) for very high,high,moderate and low degree of vulnerabilityindicates that if the Predicted degree of vulnerability are selected at random, there is a 999, .992, .975 and 1.000 chance that the model-predicted pseudo-probability for very high, high, moderate and low degree of vulnerability degree of vulnerability.

Based on the training and testing illustrations of the ANN1 model **(Figure 4),** the sensitivity and specificity diagram was constructed. The 45-degree line from the upper right angle of the chart to the lower left characterizes the situation of arbitrarily guessing the category. The greater the deviation of the curve from the 45-degree reference line, the more precise the classification will be.



Figure 4: Area Under Curve

Figure 5 demonstrates the normalized significance of the independent variables as determined by the ANN1 model, highlighting the importance of each variable and the model's sensitivity to variations in the input variables. The proximity of the market significantly impacts the ability of MLP neural networks to forecast the effects of environmental, economic, and social variables on the components of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity regarding susceptibility levels. Dwelling years, Environmental changes affecting livelihood. Storm surges, Income generated from remittances, Water and sanitation security. Relying on neighbours, Age, Riverbank erosion are significant factors influencing the predicted accuracy of the model.



Figure 5: Normalised Importance of ANN Study Variables of Gosaba Block

3.3. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Micro-level assessments seek to ascertain the fundamental causes and factors that contribute to vulnerability. Villages are vulnerable due to inadequate socioeconomic and environmental conditions. The settlements in the Indian Sundarbans have persistently increased vulnerability index scores, indicating a necessity for policymakers to promote socio-economic advancement. Alongside social and economic factors, social capital, especially trust, can act as an important source of assistance during calamities. The concept of 'community' ought to be promoted among residents. The authors produced substantial policy recommendations based on the findings. A village-level vulnerability assessment distinctly underscores the socio-economic challenges and necessities. Vulnerability assessment must be implemented across the entire Indian Sundarbans region. Local authorities ought to target villages exhibiting higher vulnerability scores. It is essential to acknowledge the distinct needs of the complex socio-ecological system of the Indian Sundarbans at the national level. Distinct action plans are essential for various regions when developing national policies concerning a disaster management, social welfare, or resource management. The national government must facilitate effective decentralization of governance to enable local governments to pursue their specific agendas.

Further investigation is required to attain a comprehensive understanding of the measures undertaken and to provide more sustainable options. Vulnerability levels vary across time [69,16]. Consequently, it is essential to undertake extensive long-term research on a specific location to ascertain its vulnerability with more precision.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper examined the degree of household vulnerability and validated it through an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) prediction model in selected villages within the Gosaba Block of Sundarban, adjacent to both the Sundarban Reserve Forest (SRF) and the Sundarban Mangrove Forest (SMF). This study examined socioeconomic vulnerability in selected villages of Gosaba Block, utilizing its principal components and site-specific sub-indicators. The extent of exposure, adaptive capacity, and sensitivity were examined through three primary variables: environment, economics, and society. The integrated assessment methodology employs socioeconomic and biophysical approaches to ascertain vulnerability. The IPCC's [50] vulnerability assessment techniques identified the villages of Mathurakhand, Pakhiralay, Satjelia, Lahiripur, and Kumirmari in the Gosaba block as need urgent action to mitigate risk levels.These settlements exhibit considerable vulnerability and sensitivity, with restricted adaptability. Priority villages are situated on the forest periphery and are vulnerable to significant disaster-related damage. The Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) neural network illustrates the normalized significance of independent variables using the artificial neural network utilizing the ANN1 model.Proximity to market significantly influences MLP neural networks' assessment of the impact of environmental, economic, and social variables on the susceptibility of components, including exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity. Age of respondent, family size establishing a social network,Storm surges,Supplementary sources of household income Dwelling years,Increased temperature, embankment failure, and earning status substantially influence model predicting efficacy. It is essential to analyze the progression of social and economic aspects related to exposure, sensitivity, and adaptation capacity, focusing specifically on marginalized segments of society. The results offer a comprehensive understanding of the conditions in the Indian Sundarbans and may serve as a model for the rest of the region. National disaster management, social welfare, and resource management strategies must each include distinct action plans. The national Government is also encouraged to facilitate sufficient decentralization of governance to enable local governments to address their own objectives.

CONSENT

Not Applicable

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not Applicable

REFERENCES

1. Ajani E.N., Mgbenka R.N., Okeke M.N. Use of indigenous knowledge as a strategy for climate change Adaptation among Farmers in sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for policy. *Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Sociol.*2013; 2:23–40.

2. Manandhar S.,Vogt D.S.,Perret S.R., Kazama F. Adapting cropping systems to climate change in Nepal: A cross-regional study of farmers' perception and practices. *Reg. Environ. Chang*. 2011; 11:335–348.

3. Shah K.U., Dulal H.B., Johnson C., Baptiste A. Understanding livelihood vulnerability to climate change:Applying the livelihood vulnerability index in Trinidad and Tobago. *Geoforum* 2013; 47: 125–137.

4. Sujakhu N.M., Ranjitkar S., Niraula R.R.,Salim, M.A., Nizami A.,Schmidt-Vogt D.,Xu J. Determinants of livelihood vulnerability in farming communities in two sites in the Asian Highlands. *Water Int.*2018;43:165–182.

5. Nelson V. Gender Generations, Social Protection and Climate Change: A Thematic Review.*Overseas Development Institute*, *ODI: London, UK*. 2011; 171.

6. Goodrich C.G., Mehta M., Bisht S. Status of Gender, Vulnerabilities and Adaptation to Climate Change in the Hindu Kush Himalayan: Impacts and Implications for Livelihoods, and Sustainable Mountain Development;*Integrated Centre for Mountain Development (ICIMOD): Kathmandu, Nepal*. 2017.

7. Hahn M. B., Riederer A. M., and Foster S. O. The livelihood vulnerability index: A pragmatic approach to assessing risks from climate variability and change—A case study in Mozambique. *Global Environmental Change*.2009; 19(1):74–88.

8. Sullivan C. A., Meigh J. R., and Fediw T. S.Derivation and testing of the water poverty index phase 1. *Final report.* May2002; Retrieved from http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/503246.

9. Bray F., Jemal A., Grey N., Ferlay J., and Forman D. Global Cancer Transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008–2030): A population-based study. *The Lancet Oncology*.2012; 13(8): 790–801.

10. Rygel L., O'sullivanD.,and Yarnal, B. A method for constructing a social vulnerability index: An application to hurricane storm surges in a developed country. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*.2006;,11(3):741–764.

11. Sajjad H.,and Nasreen, I.Assessing farm-level agricultural sustainability using site-specific indicators and sustainable livelihood security index: Evidence from Vaishali district, India. *Community Development*.2016;47(5):602–619.

12. Ahammed K. B.,and Pandey A. C. Coastal social vulnerability and risk analysis for cyclone hazard along the Andhra Pradesh, East Coast of India. *KN-Journal of Cartography and Geographic Information*.2019;69,:1–19.

13. Kelkar U., Balachandra, P., and Gurtoo, A. Assessing Indian cities for vulnerability to climate change. *In Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on environmental science and development IPCBEE.IACSIT Press, Singapore.*2011;4.

14. Malakar K.,and Mishra T. Assessing socio-economic vulnerability to climate change: A city-level index-based approach. *Climate and Development.*2017; 9(4):348–363.

15. Tian Q., and Lemos M. C. Household livelihood differentiation and vulnerability to climate hazards in rural China. *World Development*.2018; 108: 321–331.

16. Blaikie P., Cannon T., Davis I., and Wisner B. At risk: Natural hazards, People's Vulnerability and Disasters.*Routledge, London and New York*.2005

17. Ciurean R. L., Schroter D., and Glade T:Conceptual frameworks of vulnerability Assessments for Natural Disasters Reduction. In:*Approaches to Disaster Management—Examining the implications of hazards, emergencies and disasters*. (Tiefenbacher, J,ed). London, UK: Intech Open .2013;pp. 3-31. doi:10.5772/55538.

18. Fekete A. Social vulnerability (re-) assessment in context to natural hazards: Review of the usefulness of the spatial indicator approach and investigations of validation demands. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*.2019;10(2): 1–13.

19. Ogie R. I., and Pradhan B. Natural hazards and social vulnerability of place: The strength-based approach applied to Wollongong, Australia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*.2019; 10(3): 404–420.

20. Vicente R., Parodi S.,Lagomarsino S., Varum, H., Silva J.M. Seismic vulnerability and risk assessment:Case study of the historic city centre of Coimbra, Portugal. Bull. *Earthq. Eng*. 2011; 9:1067–1096.

21. AradagS.,Genc Y., Turk C. Comparative gasketed plate heat exchanger performance prediction with computations, experiments, correlations and artificial neural network estimations. *Eng. Appl. Comput.FluidMech*. 2017;11: 467–482.

22. Taormina R., Chau K.W., Sivakumar B. Neural network river forecasting through baseflow separation and binary-coded swarm optimization. *J. Hydrol*. 2015; 529:1788–1797.

23. HajihassaniM.,Armaghani D.J., Marto A., Mohamad E.T. Ground vibration prediction in quarry blasting through an artificial neural network optimized by imperialist competitive algorithm. *Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ*. 2015;74:873–886.

24. Chau K.W. Reliability and performance-based design by artificial neural network. *Adv. Eng. Softw*. 2007;38: 145–149.

25. Gordan B., Armaghani D.J., Hajihassani M., Monjezi M. Prediction of seismic slope stability through combination of particle swarm optimization and neural network. *Eng. Comput*. 2016; 32: 85–97.

26. Hinton G.E. How neural networks learn from experience. *Sci. Am*. 1992; 267: 145–151.

27. Jensen B: Expert systems-neural networks. In: Instrument Engineers' Handbook, 3rd ed.; Chilton: Radnor, PA, USA. 1994.

28. Bishop C.M. Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition; *Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.* 1995.

29. Liang Z., Shan S., Liu X.,Wen,Y. Fuzzy prediction of AWJ turbulence characteristics by using typical multi-phase flow models. *Eng. Appl. Comput. Fluid Mech*. 2017; 11:225–257.

30. Islam M.S., MohandesM., Rehman S. Vertical extrapolation of wind speed using artificial neural network hybrid system. Neural Comput. Appl. 2017;28:2351–2361.

31. Sharma M., Purohit G.N., Mukherjee S: Information Retrieves from Brain MRI Images for Tumor Detection Using Hybrid Technique K-means and Artificial Neural Network (KMANN). In :*Networking Communication and Data Knowledge Engineering; Springer: Singapore*, 2018; pp. 145–157.

32. Agrawal A :Local institutions and adaptation to climate change. In:*SocialDimensions of Climate Change:Equity and Vulnerability in a Warming World* (Mearns, R., Ed.).TheWorld Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2010;pp. 173–198.

33. Preston B.L.,Brooke C., Measham T.G., Smith T.F.,Gorddard, R. Igniting change in local government:Lessons learned from a bushfire vulnerability assessment. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chan*g. 2009;14: 251–283.

34. Hazra S., Ghosh T., DasGupta, R., and Sen G. Sea level and associated changes in the Sundarbans. *Science and Culture*.2002; 68(9/12):309–321.

35. Ghosh S.,MistriB.Geo-historical Appraisal of Embankment Breaching and Its Management on Active Tidal Land of Sundarban: A Case Study in Gosaba Island, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal.*Space and Culture, India*2020;7(4):166-180. doi:10.20896/saci.v7i4.587.

36. Abuodha P. A., and Woodroffe C. D. Assessing vulnerability to sea-level rise using a coastal sensitivity index: A case study from southeast Australia. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*.2010; 14(3): 189–205.

37. Luers A. L., Lobell D. B., Sklar L. S.,Addams C. L., and Matson,P. A. A method for quantifying vulnerability, applied to the agricultural system of the Yaqui Valley, Mexico. *Global Environmental Change*.2003;13(4): 255–267.

38. Orencio P. M. Developing and applying composite indicators for assessing and characterizing vulnerability and resilience of coastal communities to environmental and social change*.Environmental science,Sociology*.2014;DOI 10.14943/doctoral.k11531.

39. Sam A. S., Kumar R., Kächele H.,and Müller K.Quantifying household vulnerability triggered by drought: Evidence from rural India. *Climate and Development*2017; 9(7): 618–633.

40. Szlafsztein C., and Sterr H. A GIS-based vulnerability assessment of coastal natural hazards, state of Pará, Brazil. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*2007; 11(1):53–66.

41. IPCC:In: *Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, contribution of working group II to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC)*(M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof, P.J. Van der Linden & C.E. Hanson eds.)., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.2007; pp. 73–133.

42. Madhuri Tewari H.R. ,and Bhowmick, P.K. Livelihood vulnerability index analysis: An approach to study vulnerability in the context of BiharJàmbá.*Art. #127*,2014;6(1): 13 pages. https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba. v6i1.127.

43. Simane B., Zaitchik B.F.and Foltz J.D. Agroecosystem specific climate vulnerability analysis: Application of the livelihood vulnerability index to a tropical highland region, *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*.2016; 21(1): 39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-014-9568-1

44. Richardson K.J., Lewis K.H., Krishnamurthy P.K., Kent C., WiltshireA.J,.and Hanlon H.M. Food security outcomes under a changing climate: Impacts of mitigation and adaptation on vulnerability to food insecurity.*Climatic Change*.2018; 147(1–2); 327–341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2137-y

45. Ayeb-Karlsson S., Kniveton D., Cannon T., Van der Geest K., Ahmed I., Derrington E. M., Opondo, D. O. I will not go, I cannot go: Cultural and social limitations of disaster preparedness in Asia, Africa, and Oceania. *Disasters*.2018; 43(4):752–770.

46. Papathoma-KöhleM., Cristofari, G., Wenk, M., & Fuchs, S The importance of indicator weights for vulnerability indices and implicatons for decision making in disaster management. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*.2019; 36,:101103.

47. Kaiser, H.F. The application of electronic computers to factor analysis. *Educ. Psychol. Meas. 1960*; 20:141–151.

48. Madu IA. Partial vulnerability of rural households to climate change in Nigeria: Implication for internal security. *University of Texas, USA: The Robert S. Strauss Center for International Security and Law*.2012

49. Tesso G B., Emana and M Ketema. Analysis of vulnerability and resilience to climate change induced shocks in North Shewa, Ethiopia. *Agricultural Sciences*.2012;3:871–888.

50. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC. Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation. *A special report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.*2012

51. Deressa T., Hassan R M., and Ringler C. Measuring Ethiopian farmers'vulnerability to climate change across regional states, *IFPRI discussion paper no. 806*.2008.

52. Brooks N., Adger W N.,and Kelly PM. The determinants of vulnerability and adaptive capacity at the national level and the implications for adaptation. *Global Environmental Change*.2005; 15(2):151–163.

53. McClelland J.L., Rumelhart D.E., and Hinton G.E. The appeal of parallel distributed processing, in Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition .*Foundations*,*MIT Press, Cambridge* .1986;1:3-44.

54. Hagan M.T., Demuth H.B., Beale M. Neural Network Design.*PWS Pub.: Boston, MA, USA*.1996.

55. Paola J.D.,Schowengerdt R.A. A review and analysis of Backpropagation neural networks for classification of remotely-sensed multi-spectral imagery. *Int. J. Remote Sens*.1995; 16:3033–3058.

56. Atkinson P.M., Tatnall A.R.L. Introduction neural networks in remote sensing. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 1997; 18: 699–709.

57. Gong P. Integrated analysis of spatial data for multiple sources: Using Evidential Reasoning and Artificial Neural Network techniques for Geological Mapping. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens*. 1996; 62: 513–523.

58. Abraham A. Artificial neural networks. In Handbook of Measuring System Design.*Wiley: Chichester., UK*.2005.

59. Bahinipati C S. Assessment of Vulnerability to Cyclones and Floods in Odisha, India: A District level Analysis. *Current Science*.2014;107(12): 1997–2007.

60. Cutter S L., Boruff B J., and Shirley, W. L. Social Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards. *Social Science Quarterly*.2003; 84(2):242–261.

61. Boruff B J., Emrich C.,and Cutter S L. Erosion Hazard Vulnerability of US Coastal Counties*. [special issue]. Journal of Coastal Research*.2005; 21(5):932–942.

62. Boateng I. GIS assessment of Coastal Vulnerability to Climate Change and Coastal Adaption Planning in Vietnam. *Journal of Coastal Conservation*.2012;16(1): 25–36.

63. Cutter S L., Barnes L., Berry M., Burton C., Evans E., Tate E., and Webb J. A place-based model for understanding community resilience to Natural Disasters. *Global Environmental Change.*2008;18(4):598–606.

64. Torresan S., Critto A., Rizzi J., and Marcomini A. Assessment of Coastal Vulnerability to Climate Change Hazards at the regional scale: The case study of the North Adriatic Sea. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*.2012; 12(7): 2347–2368.

65. Ahsan M N.,and Warner J. The socioeconomic vulnerability index: A Pragmatic Approach for Assessing Climate change led risks: A case study in the South-Western Coastal Bangladesh. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*.2014; 8:32–49.

66. Le T D N. Climate Change Adaptation in Coastal cities of Developing Countries: Characterizing types of vulnerability and Adaptation options. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*.2019;25(5):739-731. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1102 7-019-09888-z.

67. Biswas S.,NautiyalS.AnAssessment of socio‑economic vulnerability at the household level: A study on villages of the Indian Sundarbans. *Environment, Development and Sustainability.*2020;23:11120-11137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-01085-2.

68. Das S.,and Das C S D. An assessment of socioeconomic vulnerability in a few selected
69. villages in Sundarban, India by Artificial Neural Network. *Aureole:An Academic Journal*.A Publication on Social Science and Humanities. Barasat Government College.2022;9(2):34-43.

70. McLaughlin S., McKenna J., and Cooper J A G . Socio-economic data in coastal vulnerability Indices:Constraints and opportunities. *Journal of Coastal Research*.2002; 36(sp1): 487–498.