

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124977
Title of the Manuscript:	Mud Hive: An Eco-Friendly Approach For Apis Mellifera
Type of the Article	Method Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	These model beehives can protect bees from the global warming that threatens our future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	some of the advantages and disadvantages of this hive model can be added.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are no results and discussions in the sub-sections. Can you explain the reason for this?	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The shortcoming I noticed in this article is that only the construction of the beehives is mentioned. A comparison could have been made with standard wooden hives for the same location. The issue should be clarified as to whether the bees like these hives or not. Issues such as honey yield and brood production could be explained in more detail. a temperature and humidity meter could have been placed inside the hive and compared with standard wooden hives. I think it is very important for healthy brood production.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Due to the lack of a discussion section, the references are quite limited. The references can be increased by comparing hive structures such as wood and plastic.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is sufficient.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Güneşdoğdu
Department, University & Country	Mus Alparslan University, Turkey