

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124501
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Crop Canopy and Rainfall Intensity on Runoff in Alfisols of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The outcome of this research is important for the purpose of water management at the research area in helping the agriculture industry and also to overcome the problem that related to the soil erosion by implementing suitable crop cover for the area. It was good research and it would be more interesting when it can show the relationship between the parameter in term of percentage that would be easier for reader to understand the pattern.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested Title: Effects of Crops Cover and Rainfall Intensities on Surface Runoff in Alfisols of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please refer to my comments in the Manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are few subsections need to be combined as on subsection. Please refer to my comment in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has not reached a high level scientifically. this is because the research method is not explained very clearly and the writing of the research results is not very satisfactory. The presentation of data did not reflect the entire results of the study. The most important thins is the was no Conclusion added at the end of the paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need add more references between 2019 until 2024..minimum 20 references..more is better	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is adequate but need to improve it more.	
Optional/General comments	Keep on reading more journals and article sso that you will familiar with the writing flow/format of journal and article especially important item that need in the journal and article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suhaila Sahat
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia