

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_123654
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF SOLAR WIND AND MAGNETIC FIELD ON THE INTENSITY OF COSMIC RAYS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript submitted to this journal entitled " IMPACT OF SOLAR WIND AND MAGNETIC FIELD ON THE INTENSITY OF COSMIC RAYS " is an interesting topic for publication. But the authors need to make some minor revisions . The topic of this manuscript is interesting and attractive for the scientific community. This topic is very exciting and has a high scientific level and is also acceptable to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is better to revise the title and use the appropriate word instead of symphony.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract section is very short and the results of the study should be mentioned.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript aren't appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically acceptable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is better to use more and newer references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The manuscript should be corrected in terms of native English grammar.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction section is weak and should be referred to the other studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maziar Naderi
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran