

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_123604
Title of the Manuscript:	"ON THE IMPACT OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON INDEX RESPONSE, TRANSFER FUNCTION IMPULSE RESPONSE AND FREQUENCY RESPONSE"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Additionally, the paper does not adhere to the journal's template, particularly in the form of the abstract, title, and subtitles. The title and abstract highlight the importance of differential equations, but in further text, they didn't address differential equations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I believe that the title of the article is not appropriate. Unfortunately, I cannot suggest an alternative title because the objective and scope of the paper are not clearly defined, making it difficult to determine what a suitable title would be.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As mentioned in the previous responses, I believe the abstract is not comprehensive. In addition, it does not show the actual content of the paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The required structure for preparing an original research paper, which this paper intended to conform to, has not been followed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Consistent with other comments, the paper lacks any scientific or technological contribution. There is no original problem or methodology for conducting any experiment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It would be more appropriate to include more recent ones.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is more a review than an original. It is necessary to refer to the equations in the text, and supplement the citations in the text of the paper. You should indicate what is represented in Fig. 1 on the horizontal and vertical axes, with corresponding units of measurement. The conclusion of the paper is missing.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilija M. Milicic
Department, University & Country	University of Novi Sad, Serbia