

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_125083
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Neem leaves and stem derived smoke water treatment on Growth, yield and seedling parameters of Buckwheat ( <i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i> ) Genotype IC 329195.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>Dear Editor,</b>            It has been a great pleasure for me to evaluate the manuscript entitled: 'Impact of Neem leaves and stem derived smoke water treatment on Growth, yield and seedling parameters of Buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i>) Genotype IC 32919 ', manuscript number: 125083 at your esteemed journal. Overall, the study is well designed and the manuscript is well written also, with some of the following notes:            1- It is preferable to mention to figures.            2- Some symbols in the manuscript need to be defined.            3- Figure 1 and 2 must be deleted.            4- Reference 3 must be replaced.            5- Some references without doi should be added.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>		
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</b></p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b></p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samah Hussein Kadhim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Science, University of Thi –Qar, Iraq</b>