

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124839
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative In Vitro Assessment of Fungicides for Managing <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>capsici</i> in Chilli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comparative <i>In Vitro</i> Assessment of Fungicides for Managing <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>capsici</i> in Chilli is an important study that treats a contemporary problem in food production. <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>Capsici</i> is exceptionally difficult to manage and the author(s) have demonstrated that fungicides if optimised or equipped with the right active ingredients can ameliorate this plant disease. The authors though brief have been explicit and straightforward in communicating their findings and adding knowledge in the field of study. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, I suggest the authors used both concentrations in place of both the concentrations in the last but one sentence.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is scientifically correct as it proposes simple methods to study the efficacy of fungicides. The methods used are generally acceptable in science and the authors integrated these methods/procedures to elucidate which fungicide can be affected. The study immediately finds application even in Africa and elsewhere in the world. Minor statistically analyses as I indicated could make the work more sound in general scientific literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and mostly recent. The old references used are considered as standards in agronomy and pathological studies.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Article is generally acceptable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Forkwa Etienne Yong
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon