

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124839
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative In Vitro Assessment of Fungicides for Managing Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. capsici in Chilli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a routine work. Now a days peoples are using bioinoculants instead of using fungicides due to residual toxicity, environmental harzardous etc. In my point of view for writing or publishing articles it is ok, but farmers point of view it is not suited SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As it is enough	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Enough	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not scientifically robust and technically sound, because it requires further field studies. Laboratory study itself we cannot say this chemical is best like that. So need further studies. In discussion part kindly include mode of action of Carbendazim and Mancozeb	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ya it is Sufficient and included recent references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Written in good language	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Muthukumar
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India