

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_124628
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nano Zinc and Consortia on Growth, Yield Attributes and yield of Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> ) in Central Zone of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Agrochemical research was always an important tool for optimizing agricultural production, farmers profits, and food supply and quality. Many such research have been carried out over time, in quasi-all agricultural regions and for many cultivated plants species. The efforts materialize in new and better growing technologies which have the capacity to bring about better food, lower inputs costs, higher incomes for the farmers, better life quality for the consumers, and, not lastly, environment protection. This kind of research is the more significant as it is precisely located and speaks to local communities whose lives depend on the studied crop.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the Title briefly and correctly states the paper contents.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and offers a clear image of the material and methods of the research and of its findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is correct, sections and subsections are clearly highlighted and make the manuscript easy to read and understand.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Introduction provides good reasons for the research, backed by literature. The results are clearly presented in tables. But the tables should be cited in the text and statistical significance symbols should be mentioned next to the data. Discussions are pertinent, well supported by data and literature. The expression is clear, easy to follow.  I would suggest some improvement: The purpose of the research should be clearly stated at the end of the Introduction. The statistical analysis tools should also be mentioned in the Material and Methods section. Explain the "biological yield". The study doesn't refer to the soil quality enhancement as stated in the Conclusion so a completion or a deletion is needed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are enough, some of them are very recent, and they are well cited in support of the research foundation and findings.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The expression is clear and makes the research easy to understand. Some minor language and typing corrections are needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The Abstract needn't mention the paper's title. Can the authors give some explanation regarding the differences between the two experimental years? Is straw valorised? Are grain and straw yields well balanced from the economic efficiency point of view?	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mihaela Lungu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research and Development Institute for soil Science, Agrochemistry and Environment, Romania</b>