

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_124289
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined analysis over years on the influence of foliar application of Kappaphycus seaweed based biostimulant on the yield of two different varieties of black gram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is considered appropriate and practical in our present time because it includes an experimental study on a specific type of legumes with the aim of increasing its production through the use of a biostimulant that may be less expensive.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The alternative title Combined analysis on the influence of foliar application of <i>Kappaphycus</i> seaweed based biostimulant on the yield of two different varieties of black gram (<i>Vigna mungo</i> (L.) Hepper)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript needs keywords, as well as definitions of some symbol, and the Material and Methods needs to be divided into paragraphs to be more organized.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good form a scientific point of view according to the results obtained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references is good.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Nothing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Hussein Kadhim
Department, University & Country	College of Science, University of Thi-Qar, Iraq