

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_124382
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Skin Diseases In Diabetes Mellitus Patients.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research cum short survey report.</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript offers significant value to the scientific community by providing valuable insights into the prevalence of skin diseases among diabetes mellitus patients:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. By focusing on a specific hospital population, the study highlights the correlation between high HbA1c levels and skin manifestations, contributing to a better understanding of dermatological complications in diabetic patients.</li> <li>2. The cross-sectional approach and total sampling technique enhance the credibility of the findings.</li> <li>3. The manuscript also addressing an important intersection of endocrinology and dermatology, although a broader scope or comparison across different hospitals could enhance the generalization of the findings.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>NO,</b></p> <p>The title of this manuscript is not suited to the article context, a suggested topic mentioned below for this manuscript. (Authors need to revise the topic as well as per the manuscript context.)</p> <p><b>“Manifestation of Skin Diseases in Diabetes Mellitus Patients in the Depok Region of Jakarta: A Survey Report”</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>YES (Abstract is fine)</b></p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive enough to provide a brief overview of the manuscript.</p> <p>As per my suggestion, the abstract should be under the 300–350 word limit. It should summarize the points like the aim and objectives of the review manuscript, the methodology or methods that are adopted in the research manuscript, the discussion, and the conclusion of the research manuscript in a single paragraph. (Rather than to do not write or mention anything on it as a structured abstract )</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>YES</b> (The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate)</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound but need to justify :-</p> <p>Material and methodology need to specify to give an idea about how to proceed with this research work, but the paper context gives only an idea like a review paper.</p> <p>There are few questions related to the manuscript which is need to be justify:-</p> <p><b>Q.</b> Author justify that how one month of study is enough to put the statement as per the manuscript title??</p> <p><b>Q</b> The author needs to justify why we can only rely on medical data for this study. Why are we not using a questioner as per the study protocol for the patient as well to collect the primary data as well?</p> <p><b>Q</b> The author needs to justify how they conduct the study, especially for the patient data collection. What about the study protocol?</p> <p><b>Q</b> Author needs to elaborate in detail about the what the rational of choosing the demographic sample</p>	

**Review Form 3**

	<p>? what the sample size?</p> <p><b>(Note :- Without proper ethical approval or patient consent, such type of study cant be published)</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Total References are 35 which is to be less for a review manuscript , If possible, It has to be increase up to 80-100. (To summaries more factual data).</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Following technical corrections must be needed to be implement in this research manuscript:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications but author must revised the various grammatical errors throughout the whole manuscript.</li> <li>2. There is missing of a Graphical abstract (to summarize whole review manuscript in a diagrammatically).</li> <li>3. There is No diagram or graph in manuscript to improve the authenticity by adding research data of manuscript.</li> <li>4. Factual Data must be justify with the help of extrapolating the same data in pia chart or graph bar, which more impact-full for the readers as well to understand the data interpretation.</li> <li>5. Need to add a demographic data to prove <b>The Impact of Skin Diseases in Diabetes Mellitus Patients in the Depok Region of Jakarta.</b></li> <li>6. Author needs to revise their references most of are written in wrong format.</li> <li>7. The author should increase the number of references, which is currently 20, as this indicates that the author has not conducted a rigorous literature review. Increasing the references to around 100, which Could improve the quality of the paper and enhance its content accordingly."</li> </ol>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Other than the above, there are some technical corrections required, and the author is to be requested to please ensure before their final submission, like:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. For the entire manuscript, please ensure that the font size is <b>12</b> and <b>Times of Roman</b>.</li> <li>2. Please make sure that the whole manuscript text or paragraphs are justified.</li> <li>3. The author suggested that you please follow the heading criteria in the whole manuscript, with H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>3</sub> patterns.</li> <li>4. Please ensure that the total word count is below the standard limit, as per the editor's suggested guidelines.</li> <li>5. Please make sure that the manuscript goes through a plagiarism check and is under the standard limit (mentioned by the editor).</li> </ol> <p>Please make sure that the manuscript is set or adjusted according to the projected chapter outline.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, there is a need for ethical approval to conduct such a study at Sentra Medika Cisalak Hospital, Depok.</p> <p>In the given manuscript, the author didn't explain anything about the clinical approvals for conducting such a study in Sentra Medika Cisalak Hospital, Depok. This study is purely based on ethical approval and patient consent. So authors are to be requested to kindly mention the ethical approval consent at last in a separate column along with funding details and other consent declarations.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Deepak Chandra Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Chemical Sciences and Pharmacy, Central University, India</b>