

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_124531
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF FOOD INSECURITY AMONG HOUSEHOLD WITH UNDER 5 CHILDREN IN NIGERIA; A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides significant insights into the issue of food insecurity in Nigeria, particularly within households with children under five years old. Its importance lies in addressing a critical public health challenge by examining both the immediate and long-term consequences of malnutrition. Through a systematic review of coping strategies, it contributes practical knowledge, making a substantial addition to the understanding of the socioeconomic factors influencing food insecurity. The structured synthesis of data and identification of key areas for intervention enhances its value to the academic discourse on this pressing issue.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title, <b>"Impact of Food Insecurity Among Household with Under 5 Children in Nigeria; A Systematic Review"</b> , is clear and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. However, I suggest a slight adjustment for readability and precision: "Impact of Food Insecurity on Households with Children Under Five in Nigeria: A Systematic Review."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the study, covering the background, methodology, and findings. However, it could benefit from more detail on the key results, such as the number of studies reviewed and the specific factors that influence food insecurity in Nigerian households. This would enhance clarity and highlight the manuscript's contributions more effectively.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, with well-defined subsections that follow a logical flow. The review presents the findings in a clear and organized manner, which enhances the reader's understanding of the topic. Each section contributes to the overall narrative, from the introduction to the conclusion, maintaining a consistent focus on the research objectives.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically sound and methodologically thorough. The use of thematic synthesis to analyse the qualitative data is appropriate for the nature of the research. By identifying socioeconomic and cultural factors contributing to food insecurity, the paper provides a solid foundation for future interventions. The inclusion of multiple studies from different parts of Nigeria adds to the credibility and robustness of the findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	The references are sufficient and relevant, with recent studies from 2021 to 2024 included. However, incorporating more references from global perspectives on food insecurity, especially comparative studies in other developing countries, could further contextualize the findings. Suggested additions include more recent articles on the relationship between food insecurity and child development outcomes from other sub-Saharan African countries.	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments	The language of the article is generally clear and understandable; however, there are some areas where refinement could further elevate it to meet the standards of scholarly communication. Improving sentence structure, punctuation, and flow in certain sections would enhance clarity. While the overall quality of the writing is solid, a careful language review would ensure it aligns seamlessly with the expectations of academic writing, enhancing readability and polish.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The manuscript addresses an important and timely public health issue, focusing on food insecurity among Nigerian households with children under five, which is a critical demographic group.</li> <li>• The systematic review methodology is well-structured and clearly presented, offering valuable insights into both the immediate and long-term effects of food insecurity and malnutrition.</li> <li>• The inclusion of coping strategies enhances the practical applicability of the findings, making the manuscript a significant contribution to both academic research and policymaking.</li> <li>• The language is generally clear, though some minor grammatical issues and sentence structure improvements are recommended to meet scholarly communication standards.</li> <li>• The abstract could be more detailed, particularly in highlighting the key results and contributions of the manuscript.</li> <li>• The manuscript follows a logical structure, and the sections are appropriately organized, making it easy to follow and understand.</li> <li>• Ethical concerns are minimal since the manuscript is based on a systematic review of published literature; however, it would benefit from a clear statement on ethical considerations and competing interests.</li> <li>• Overall, the manuscript requires minor revisions for improvement, particularly in terms of language clarity and abstract detailing.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ryan C. Fontanilla
Department, University & Country	Medical Colleges of Northern Philippines, International School of Asia and The Pacific, Philippines