

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_124832
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>LONELINESS, DEPRESSION AND LIFE SATISFACTION AMONG INSTITUTIONALISED SINGLE MOTHERS</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The study lacks clarity and most importantly organization and logical flow. Which is a major drawback fo the study. Further is does not provide specific and guided implications, doesnt have any discussion part and fails to establish any relationship between variables in the introduction. Thus there are many points which can be considered a drawback for the study, which leave me confused about what the author is trying to establish? I like the idea however I dislike the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title of the study is not in alignment with the study aims and objectives. As mentioned in the second line of abstract. Kindly revise the title and your manuscript accordingly.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article lacks an organization and clarity, presenting the aim, methods, and results in a fragmented way rather than following a coherent structure. A well-structured abstract should clearly outline the research aim, describe the methods used, summarize the results, and conclude with the implications, all in a logical flow. However, the current abstract lack that flow and somewhat creates a confusion about what is going to be studies and how.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript lacks structure. Such as the abstract starts with the aim, but after aim, results are given, after which implications are mentioned then research design is discussed? Why are the ethical considerations after the results. Further there is no discussion part, and no implications mentioned.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The article lacks any scientific clarity as information in the introduction is presented in a scattered way, no meaningful relationship is established, and no rationale is present. There are certain things which create a confusion such as the 7<sup>th</sup> line in the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of introduction contains types of depression and then suddenly switches to parenting stress in next lines. Many constructs which are not part of the study are mentioned. The literature review is lacking, it presents a comparison of single and married women instead of identifying the study variables in study sample.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :</p>	<p>The introduction lacks references as I have mentioned in the comments. Further extend your literature review and also establish connection between study variables in introduction using previous literature. There is no discussion part which needs to be included to further confirm the findings through literature.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The sentence structure is lacking.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Consider enhancing the link between loneliness, depression and life satisfaction expanding on the theoretical or empirical basis connecting these elements. Highlight studies that specifically examine the impact of institutionalization on single mothers' psychological health. Include a review of literature on how welfare may exacerbate loneliness and depression. Furthermore, how the life satisfaction of these mothers is impacted. Additionally, elaborate on the cultural nuances such as the stigmas and stereotypes impacting the mental health of single mothers.</p> <p>The method section is somewhat confusing try providing a step by step procedure of how the study was conducted, what was the sample, the sampling technique, the objectives and the hypothesis. Provide a clear understanding of study scales.</p> <p>Incorporate a thorough "Data Analysis" section into the Methods to enhance transparency. This section should describe the statistical techniques and models utilized for data analysis, providing readers with a clear understanding of how the conclusions were formulated from the gathered data.</p> <p>Include analysis for establishing association between study variables.</p> <p>Include a discussion part, which should also highlight the implications of the study, were there any limitations for the study. Mention those also.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nimra Naeem</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Riphah International University, Pakistan</b>