

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_124250
Title of the Manuscript:	Mandoliong: An Organological Study of Stringed Traditional Musical Instruments in Wajo Regency, South Sulawesi
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it enhances understanding of the mandoliong within cultural heritage and ethnomusicology. It highlights the need for preserving traditional music amid modernization, offering valuable insights for scholars and cultural practitioners.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Suggestion: Mandoliong: An Organological Study of a Traditional Stringed Instrument in Wajo Regency, South Sulawesi.</p> <p>Just a minor change.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Overall, the abstract effectively conveys the research's purpose and context, though it could benefit from slight restructuring for clarity. Additionally, incorporating specific research questions or objectives would enhance its comprehensiveness, providing readers with a clearer understanding of the study's focus and significance. Including a brief mention of the broader implications of the research for cultural preservation could further enrich the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of your manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript offers an intriguing exploration of <i>mandoliong</i> music in Wajo Regency, blending personal stories from local artists with rigorous methodology to enhance engagement. The research design employs appropriate analytical techniques that align with the study's objectives, reinforcing its scientific robustness. By focusing on both traditional and modern influences, it highlights the evolving nature of cultural heritage, making the study both relevant and thought-provoking.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Overall, the references seem sufficient for supporting the study. However, if the focus is heavily on current trends or innovations, author might want to add a few more recent publications from the last couple of years that specifically address <i>mandoliong</i> or similar traditional instruments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article has valuable content but requires improvements in language and clarity to enhance its suitability for scholarly communication. Sentence structure could be simplified for better readability, and consistent terminology should be maintained throughout. Additionally, attention to grammatical accuracy and proper citation formatting is essential for academic rigor.</p> <p>Suggestion: do ask proofread by English expert</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Since the author use many of local language (I believe in Bugis language), kindly add a subsection named 'glossary' so that reader can refer to those words while reading.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elvin Dainal
Department, University & Country	University Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia