

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_124814
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF EFFLUENTS PRODUCED FROM PALM OIL PROCESSING INDUSTRIES ON FISH PRODUCTION AROUND BUGALA ISLAND, KALANGALA DISTRICT, UGANDA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors contribute to the research literature in this area of investigation. Overall, this is a beneficial manuscript that has implications for understanding the impacts of industrial pollution on the environment. The findings will regulate the implementation of effluent treatment and enhance the need for stricter environmental regulations. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable as it reflects the data and discussion.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is clear and concise.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Additional suggestions for improvement / amendments: a) The manuscript can be improved adding a map showing the sampling locations to enable the reader to digest the results b) To explain clearly which instrument was used for metal analysis (AAS or ICP)	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript describes standard methods using calibrated instruments, hence data collected should be scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are acceptable.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Additional suggestions for improvement / amendments: a) The manuscript can be improved adding a map showing the sampling locations to enable the reader to digest the results b) To explain clearly which instrument was used for metal analysis (AAS or ICP) c) Results of dissolved oxygen is questionable – request authors to recheck d) To standardise using $P < 0.05$ or $P > 0.05$ when explaining significance e) There are a few hanging sentences and inappropriate sentences that can be amended. Overall, writing style is appropriate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shantakumari Rajan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia