

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124940
Title of the Manuscript:	Sectoral Output and Employment in Nigeria (1981-2021)
Type of the Article	Research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has importance in the field of economics, as it sheds light on the activity of the main sectors, and examines the issue of unemployment and employment and the role of these sectors in employing workers. This manuscript has importance in the academic field and is important for economic policy makers and helps them make decisions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is preferable to change the title to: Estimating the impact of sectoral output on employment in the Nigerian economy for the period 1981-2021.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-The author must state the purpose of the research paper in the introduction to the abstract. -The abstract should be shortened by deleting this comment: The most valuable resource of any economy are its people but unemployment is a daunting challenge that erodes an economy's productive capacity. Findings from extant studies on this issue on Nigeria have divergent conclusions and are either dated, aggregated, focused on one sector or restrictive based on the method of analysis.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is preferable that the sections of the manuscript be as follows: - the introduction -Methods and methods - Results and discussion - Conclusions - Sources	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I see that the scientific formulation is sound and correct in terms of presenting data, analyzing variables and graphs, formulating the model, and using standard estimation and tests.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think that the references are sufficient and up-to-date and do not need to be added.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the English quality of the article is appropriate for academic communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is possible to change the graph by putting different colors for each variable (blue, red, black) to be more clear.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saad A. Hammad
Department, University & Country	University of Anbar, Iraq