

## Review Form3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofAgriculturalExtension.Economics&amp;Sociology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_124608
TitleoftheManuscript:	Constructionofaknowledgetest tomeasurethelevelof knowledgeoffarmersonGeographicalIndicationsin agriculture
TypeoftheArticle	

# Review Form3

## PART1: ReviewComments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am in favor of this article because knowledge of farmers on Geographical Indications in agriculture are the basic requirements. The knowledge boosts the sustainable farming methods that are necessary for long-term agricultural productivity while also assisting in the preservation of ecological balance and crop quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it looks interesting.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but you have to make minor changes such as 1. It is suggested that you make a flowchart of methods for precise understanding. 2. Put and Remove spaces wherever needed. 3. You have to represent data of the result section in concise ways such as pie chart etc. 4. Mention the Abbreviations where it is required.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript emphasizing assist us in developing plans to teach farming communities how to profit from the expansion and commercialization of indigenous methods that are legally protected. In this manuscript, the validity of the methodologies used, the interpretation of results, and the soundness of the conclusions drawn by addressing potential biases are key to ensuring this manuscript's reliability and credibility within the scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Increase references if you can	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No	

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

# Review Form3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Intezar Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aligarh Muslim University, India</b>