

## Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>                                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_124608   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Construction of a knowledge test to measure the level of knowledge of farmers on Geographical Indications in agriculture |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>                        | This manuscript is important for assessing farmers' knowledge of Geographical Indications, guiding targeted educational efforts and policy interventions. I appreciate its role in promoting sustainable agriculture and preserving local product identities.   |  |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>  | <b>Yes</b>  |  |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>   | Abstract is well written.   |  |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  | Yes. Results and discussion part could be elaborative   |  |
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | The scientific robustness of this manuscript lies in its systematic approach to test construction, adhering to established psychometric principles such as validity and reliability. The use of appropriate methodologies, like item analysis and pilot testing, ensures the knowledge test is both accurate and consistent. By incorporating a representative sample of farmers, the study maintains external validity, making its findings generalizable. Additionally, the focus on a niche topic like Geographical Indications in agriculture highlights its technical soundness and relevance to current agricultural policy and extension frameworks. |  |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>   | Recent references could be cited more.  |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |   |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | Increase the Result and discussion part with references   |  |

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Amandeep Ranjan</b>                               |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India</b> |