

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124463
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Agricultural Technology in attaining food security among small farmers in Char area in Mymensingh district
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript will serve as a reference material for future researches on Dietary Diversity of farmers and how it enhances their productivity. There is no section that discusses the empirical literature reviewed There is no theory underpinning the study	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I will suggest a reframing of the title as follow; Analysis of Factors Influencing the Dietary Diversity of Smallholder's Farmers in Char Areas of Bangladesh	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is too lengthy and not easily comprehensible. The author should summarize it to capture only: i. Title ii. Methodology employed iii. Results iv. Recommendations	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The incitation shows the authors has review previous works The methodology employed is good, but there are deviations in presentation of results and discussions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, the references are okay but did not capture all the incitations	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but there is a need for the author to seek assistance of an English editor.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abubakar Orlando Ijoko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigerian Army University, Nigeria</b>