

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_124233</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integrative Framework of AI and FinTech for Enhanced Smart City Urban Planning</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As global urbanization accelerates, the creation of smart cities has become a critical focus for governments aiming to enhance the quality of life, sustainability, and economic resilience of their urban centres. Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030, which prioritizes economic diversification, sustainable development, and an improved quality of life, is a strategic framework that directly aligns with this global trend. By focusing on AI and FinTech, the study taps into a critical intersection of technological innovation, urban development, and economic policy, offering insights that are directly applicable to ongoing and future projects, not only in Saudi Arabia but in any part of the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is absolutely apt.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestions. The abstract reflects the research work in it's entirety.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are well crafted and are indicative of a smooth flow of information	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research work is completely theoretical and descriptive in nature. It does not include any statistical and technical analysis whatsoever. However, considering the research done by the author, the work has been found in depth and relevant making it sound and robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Mention of DOI link for the references is suggested. Reference credits for Figure 1 suggested.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is found lucid	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shireesha Mancham</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Andhra Loyola College, India</b>