

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124775
Title of the Manuscript:	Trends in Mandibular Fracture Fixation Via 3D Grid Plate
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is expressing to an important specific subject and it is containing an information that support basic evidence	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not suitable  An alternative title: Three Dimension Grid Plate Effecting in Mandibular Fracture Fixation	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Conclusion pat in abstract should write more accurately	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The use of three-dimensional plates has shown good results in cases of fractures of the mandibular body and angle fixation for ease of use and lower rates of postoperative complications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The periodontal status of the two cases is not writing during follow up visits for 6 months and 12 months. The disadvantage of used this procedure is not present in the manuscript mainly scar forming in the patient skin	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zina Ali Daily</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Alameed, Iraq</b>