

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_124053</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ELEVEN-YEAR FOLLOW-UP OF CLASS III TREATMENT WITH ORTHODONTIC CAMOUFLAGE: A CASE REPORT</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Non-invasive orthodontic treatment is a generally favoured method due to its nature, but has unpredictable outcomes in several cases. This prospective follow-up case study illustrates a successful non-invasive method in treating a rare malocclusion. The method described is very illustrative and how the psychological effect of the method is also considered. The article in general is very well written. This is a wonderful addition to a case report as expert and practitioner alike can benefit from this report.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is clear and relevant, summarizing the article's focus on long-term follow-up for a specific orthodontic treatment. It aligns with the content and adequately describes the subject matter.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a thorough summary of the study, including the problem (Class III malocclusion), the treatment method (orthodontic camouflage with interceptive orthodontics), and the long-term results.  You could emphasize the significance of the findings for future research or clinical practice more explicitly.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The article follows a logical progression: introduction, case report, discussion, and conclusion. This structure is appropriate for a case report.  Including a more explicit discussion on alternative treatment options with supporting literature could provide a broader context.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article appears scientifically sound. The methodology is well-documented, and the results are based on long-term follow-up, which adds robustness to the findings. The authors provide adequate imaging and cephalometric analysis, which supports the conclusion of effective treatment.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references seem comprehensive and include recent and relevant sources. The cited literature supports the claims made, and the referencing of recent systematic reviews and genetic studies adds credibility.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally clear, but the article would benefit from minor editing to improve flow and eliminate occasional grammatical issues. Enhancing transitions between sections could also improve readability.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Minor revisions for language clarity and expansion of the discussion section.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Donny Nauphar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Swadaya Gunung Jati, Indonesia</b>