

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_124115
Title of the Manuscript:	HEART HISTOMORPHOLOGICAL CHANGES OF ADULT WISTAR RATS EXPOSED TO 3, 4 METHYLENEDIOXYMETHAMPHETAMINE PILLS
Type of the Article	Research article.

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Article is accordingly applied biological problem and need scientific recommendation about the unauthorized use of drugs, but it needs major revisions before publication up to standard journal criteria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need minor revision	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, material and methods need major subsections replacement and removal of repetitions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Remove repetition of statements 2. Figs are not clear 3. Label properly in coral 4. Verify magnification	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more ref from 2020 to 2023	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need revision	
Optional/General comments	Need lipid profile to strengthen paper	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Abbas
Department, University & Country	Pakistan