

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124305
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF EXTREME DAILY RAINFALL IN COTONOU
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The topic of the article is more relevant on the basis of current scenario. Global warming is a common threat in developed and developing countries. The article discussed the changing climate scenario of Cotonou. Rainfalls and droughts are the two extrema climate in the city. The climate of the city is changed recently. Flood is the more common phenomenon of the city.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes. Author may include few sentences for causes of the excess rainfalls which creates flood in the city.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Author should write the objectives of the study. Author should incorporate policies of government for mitigating such climate change. Author also can include own suggestions or recommendations for mitigating such natural calamity. Author should revised conclusion as per objectives of the study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Accepted</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>. The article discussed the natural calamities of city Cotonou, which is the financial capital of Benin. Such natural calamities (drought and floods) affects millions of people in Benin. Poor people are more suffers due such climate change. It socially and economically affects the society. So government should implement proper policies for mitigating such effects.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukanta Sarkar
Department, University & Country	Gambella University, Ethiopia