

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_124375
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factor Profile of Ischemic Stroke Patients
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Report of Comme</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Please specify the geographical area where the study was conducted and also the study design.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Abstract: It is more relevant to mention that the study is cross-sectional research rather than limiting it to descriptive design. Conclusions: It is more pertinent to draw conclusions instead of merely recalling the results of the present research.</p> <p>Please respond to the following questions and incorporate these elements into the final manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How did you calculate the sample size? • How did you choose the sampling method? Is it exhaustive or another method? • Did you include patients with transient ischemic attacks? • Was the study approved by an ethics committee? • Since this research concerns risk factors, an analytical approach could contribute to the conclusions of the study. Why did you limit yourself to a descriptive level? <p><input type="checkbox"/> Replace "There is" and "There isn't any" with Yes and No.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Add a section in the discussion regarding the limitations of the study.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Please develop the conclusions section, drawing clinical recommendations based on the results obtained and suggesting further research perspectives in the same direction.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Kharbach Ahmed</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Mohammed V University of Rabat, Morocco</p>