

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_124858
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Socio-Economic Variables Impacting Poverty Alleviation in Regional Development: A Case Study in Deli Serdang Regency, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Title:</b> Okay and Explicit</li> <li>2. <b>Abstract:</b> Good overview of the paper. However, the keywords should be reduced to five. Eight is too clumsy.</li> <li>3. <b>Introduction:</b> Presents good background to the study. However, there's the need to take care of the grammatical error on the 8<sup>th</sup> line of the Introduction.</li> <li>4. <b>Literature Review:</b> The literature reviewed should be empirical in nature, implying that the method of data analysis employed in each of the literature reviewed, must be clearly emphasized. This is the only way the gap in literature can be clearly identified.</li> <li>5. <b>Research Methodology:</b> Presentation of methodology and rationale for choice of methodology is okay. However, the choice of methodology would have been more appropriate if applied to a product of 5 years, districts and cities not less than 32 points. This will enable a <b>robust analysis</b> in examining the effect of economic, social and demographic variables on poverty pre, during and post COVID-19 pandemic. Such a robust analysis can then generate appropriate policy recommendations. Applying this methodology to data spanning 2019-2023 and about 3-4 districts only give a product ranging from 15-20 points which is too limited for adequate outcomes.</li> <li>6. <b>Model and Analytical Approach:</b> Definition of variables-typographical error on <math>POV_{it}</math> should be corrected.</li> <li>7. <b>References:</b> Incomplete references and mismatch between references cited in the paper and the final references listed at the end of the paper. The author should re-visit this.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Omolara Campbell</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Lead City University, Nigeria</b></p>