

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_124858
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Socio-Economic Variables Impacting Poverty Alleviation in Regional Development: A Case Study in Deli Serdang Regency, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Reviewer comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Topic – the topic is relevant and more specific without any ambiguity 2. Variables – the independent and dependent variables were clearly defined and specified 3. Contextualization of the study – the paper was clearly put in proper context 4. Scope of study – very specific and well defined 5. Structure of presentation – followed appropriate format and structure 6. Methodology – well defined and explained 7. Research design – appropriate research design used 8. Data sources – well defined and verifiable 9. Data collection instrument – well defined and processes adequately explained 10. Variables definition – the variables were operationally defined and appropriate units of measurement used 11. Data analysis model – appropriate data analysis model/tools used and were best fit 12. Regression model used – panel data appropriate and well suited for the study 13. Hypothesis testing models – appropriated models used 14. Validity of the models – appropriate tests were conducted 15. Findings – more specific and verifiable 16. Policy implications – well identified and articulated 17. Recommendations – appropriate recommendations given 18. Contribution of the study – well articulated 19. Limitations of the study – NOT INDICATED 20. References – sizable number of references cited in the work were not listed in the references <p>Comments The paper is good and fit for purpose The Author(s) must update the references to incorporate all works cited in the study in the references The Author(s) must indicate any limitations of the study to situate the entire work properly</p> <p>Recommendation I recommend the paper for publication subject to the above comments mentioned</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ofori Frimpong Henneh
Department, University & Country	Central University of Nicaragua, Nicaragua