

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124988
Title of the Manuscript:	Researching the Futuristic Entrepreneurial Actions for Tribal Farmers of North-East India
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it focuses on underexplored entrepreneurial opportunities in the tribal communities of North-East India, a region with immense untapped agricultural potential. It provides practical insights into agri-business ventures that could significantly uplift the socio-economic status of the Mishmi Hills' tribal farmers. The study's focus on sustainable agricultural practices, such as agro-tourism and medicinal plant commercialization, aligns well with global efforts to foster rural entrepreneurship and environmental sustainability. This research has the potential to guide policy development and promote innovation in agriculture-based rural economies.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The current title, "<i>Researching the Futuristic Entrepreneurial Actions for Tribal Farmers of North-East India</i>," is suitable but could be more specific. A suggestion could be: "<i>Exploring Futuristic Entrepreneurial Opportunities for Tribal Farmers in the Mishmi Hills, North-East India</i>." This revised title highlights the specific region and scope of the research, offering more clarity to potential readers.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is well-written and effectively summarizes the study's objectives, methods, and key findings. However, adding a sentence to emphasize the practical implications of the findings for policymakers and stakeholders could improve its impact. For instance, mentioning that the findings offer actionable insights for designing training programs or policy initiatives would highlight the study's relevance.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured with appropriate subsections. The clear division into introduction, methods, results, and discussion sections makes it easy to follow. The inclusion of tables summarizing the findings adds clarity. However, some subsections (like "Study Area") could benefit from more elaboration on why certain districts were selected and how they represent the larger North-East region.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The use of a triangulation approach enhances the validity of the findings, as it combines farmer perspectives with expert insights. The study addresses a critical gap in the literature by exploring agri-entrepreneurial actions in a region with vast agricultural potential but limited entrepreneurship. The results are well-analyzed, and the recommendations for future entrepreneurial actions are grounded in both local context and expert analysis, making the findings practical and actionable.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are recent and sufficient, covering relevant studies in the field. However, including more references related to agri-businesses or rural entrepreneurship in other developing regions could provide a comparative perspective and strengthen the study's contextual framework.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication, though some minor grammatical improvements could enhance readability. For example, the introduction has some repetitive phrases that could be streamlined for better flow. A thorough proofreading will ensure the manuscript meets the highest linguistic standards.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript presents significant research on promoting sustainable agri-entrepreneurship in the North-East of India, particularly the Mishmi Hills. It highlights both the challenges and opportunities for rural development and has strong policy implications. Improving the infrastructure and training opportunities, as suggested, would align well with India's broader development goals. Further exploration of the long-term feasibility of the proposed entrepreneurial actions could be a fruitful area for future research.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Subash Kumar Bhattarai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal Engineering College, Nepal</b>