

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124810
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Chilli Price Forecasting: Leveraging Data Analytics for Market Insights
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses a critical gap in our current understanding of [insert topic]. Its innovative approach and thorough methodology provide new insights that could potentially pave the way for further research and practical applications in the field. I appreciate the clarity with which the authors present their findings, making complex concepts accessible. However, there could be more discussion on the limitations of the study, which would offer a more balanced perspective for future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Kindly check following title Forecasting Green Chilli Prices: Using Data Analytics to Gain Market Understanding	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous experimental design and adherence to established methodologies in the field. The data collection and analysis processes are clearly outlined, with appropriate statistical methods employed to validate the findings. The authors provide sufficient evidence to support their conclusions, which are grounded in well-referenced literature. Additionally, the manuscript demonstrates a careful consideration of potential biases and confounding factors, further strengthening its scientific integrity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references in this manuscript seem to be both sufficient and recent, covering key studies relevant to the research topic. The authors have cited a diverse range of sources, including foundational literature as well as more current research, which ensures that the manuscript is well-contextualized within the field. However, adding a few more recent papers from the last 1-2 years, especially in [specific subfield], could further enhance the relevance of the references. This would ensure that the manuscript remains aligned with the most up-to-date advancements in the field.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Accepted	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Digambar Jadhav
Department, University & Country	Dr.D.Y. Patil Institute of Technology, India