

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124808
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc on growth, yield and quality traits of African marigold (<i>Tagetes erecta</i> L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda under semi-arid regions of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the present scientific community, agricultural organizations, students and farmers to fill the gap of productivity in the field of marigold flowers. The finding offers new insight into the selection of suitable concentration of Zinc (Zn) for quality marigolds production in semi arid region. The research findings also contribute to improving yield and flower quality of farmers for commercial cultivation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is recommended to proofread all sections, make a uniform format for adding or deleting commas(,) before "and" prepositions. check for singular and plural words in the manuscript using grammar checker software.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has done well in the entire section. The repetition of the same words in the results and discussion related to starting the first line should be avoided throughout the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. However poor citation format and date with corresponding its references. Delete unnecessary citations and references as provided.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. It is clear and generally well-structured, but there are occasional spelling, grammatical errors and prepositions that could be improved for better readability. I recommend thorough proofreading to refine sentence structure and enhance clarity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is recommended to provide analysed significant data in table for all parameters where you have only described in results and discussion section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apil Joshi
Department, University & Country	Nepal