

[ReviewForm3](#)

JournalName:	JournalofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_124585
TitleoftheManuscript:	OrganolepticEvaluationofAonla(EmblicaofficinalisG.)nectarduringstorage
TypeoftheArticle	ResearchArticle

PART 1: ReviewComments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is emphasizing on preserving nutritive value of anola, it minimizes the post-harvest losses due to its perishable nature and stabilizes the market value of anola.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be slightly modified Organoleptic Evaluation of Aonla (Emblica officinalis G.) nectar for different storage duration	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, needs some minor correction as mentioned in the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Comments and suggestions were inserted in the manuscript using the Review tool in Microsoft Word and authors need to address all the issues.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is emphasizing on preserving nutritive value of anola, it minimizes the postharvest losses due to its perishable nature and stabilizes the market value of anola.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, Out of 12 references 11 references are missing/not found in main body of the manuscript and include recent references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	

[ReviewForm3](#)

Optional/General comments	Introduction:Needtoelaborate and withsome references Materialandmethods: Methodofstoragewas not explainedin materialandmethods, relevant referencesneedtobeincluded whereevernecessary Results:Mention which varietyand with storage method showedbetter result in allthe aspect. Tableneedto be formattedas per theJournalstandard. Discussion:Rewrite thediscussion part with more relevant referencestojustify yourfindings. References: Outof 12 references 11referencesaremissing/notfoundinmain bodyofthe manuscriptandinclude recentreferences. SEE ATTACHMENTT	
---------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saresh N V
Department, University & Country	Agriculture University Jodhpur, India