

Spatial Variation of Microclimatic parameters inside a Polyhouse with Fog cooling system

Abstract

The importance of protected cultivation is increasing day by day due to its capability of producing crop all-round the year and increase in the crop productivity. This advantage of protected cultivation can be efficiently achieved by adapting the polyhouse cultivation. To obtain the maximum crop productivity inside a polyhouse, it is necessary to understand the variability of microclimate inside it. The present study aims to understand the spatial variability of microclimate inside a naturally ventilated polyhouse installed with fog cooling system during summer season. The main aim of the study is understand the effect of fogging system in spatial variability of microclimate. For the study, the data of microclimatic parameters were collected daily from April to June at four different time intervals 8.30 AM, 12.30 PM, 4.30 PM and 8.30 PM. The data recorded was then analyzed in Golden Surfer Software to generate contour plots of weekly averages of microclimate parameters. The analysis revealed that the temperature and relative humidity varied across the polyhouse depending upon the wind velocity and its distribution pattern. The light intensity varied based upon the orientation of polyhouse and the position of sun. Carbon dioxide concentration varied based on temperature, light intensity, crop transpiration and respiration. It can be concluded that, inside a naturally ventilated polyhouse, the microclimate varied both spatially and temporally with fogging system also due to wind effect. Thus, having accurate understanding of the microclimate inside a polyhouse helps to maintain optimal conditions for plant growth and thus improve crop yield and productivity.

Key words: Protected Cultivation, Foggers, Polyhouse, Microclimate, Spatial Variability, Crop Productivity

1.0 Introduction

In the last few years, researchers were focusing to improve the crop growth and yield irrespective of the season. One way to achieve increased crop productivity is through protected cultivation in polyhouses, which provide a controlled environment for plants to grow (Santosh *et al.*, 2023). A polyhouse is defined as a framed or inflated structure covered with a transparent or translucent material in which crops can be grown under controlled conditions and is large enough for people to enter and perform cultural operations (Maitra *et al.*, 2020; Santosh and Maitra, 2021; Ganesan, 2002). In India protected cultivation area is around 30000 ha which contribute 0.23% of horticulture cropped area (Suresh *et al.*, 2022) and is increasing rapidly. This indicates the ability of protected cultivation in enhancing the crop productivity.

While, polyhouse is most effective against adverse climatic conditions (Bisht *et al.*, 2011), it remains heterogeneous with irregular temperature, relative humidity, CO₂ concentration, and irradiation distributions that require management (Challa *et al.*, 2022). This heterogeneity in microclimate necessitates the need to analyze the spatial and temporal variability of the microclimate since it plays a vital role in preserving the crop quality and productivity. The variability of microclimate inside a polyhouse and its effect on crop growth was studied by many researchers.

Villagran and Bojaca (2002) conducted a study to determine the microclimatic behavior of a passive spatial-type greenhouse used in Colombia for carnation production and the results revealed that the microclimate generated inside the greenhouse was not suitable for carnation production. Suay *et al.* (2008) carried out an experiment to assess the variability of temperature and humidity patterns in a greenhouse occupied with mature rose crop. The results derived an analysis explaining the heterogeneous distribution of microclimate inside the polyhouse. Jerszurki *et al.* (2021) studied the vertical profiles of CO₂ concentration, actual vapor pressure, air, leaf and soil temperatures in a semi-closed greenhouse and a naturally ventilated greenhouse and concluded that air circulation is vital. Soni *et al.* (2005) investigated vertical air temperature distribution in a greenhouse with natural ventilation. They reported that a large temperature gradient was observed in the vertical direction, and the maximum temperature difference was approximately 5 °C. But still, scarce data is presently available about inside microclimate of production greenhouses for both day and night periods (Suay *et al.*, 2008).

It is well known that greenhouses are constructed with various models and designs based on the local climatic conditions. Therefore, it is necessary to perform a full-size field test of a particular greenhouse to obtain reliable data (Mashonjowa et al. 2013). The present study aims to record and analyze the spatial and temporal variability of microclimate inside a polyhouse provided with fog cooling system which was operated for a time period of 15 minutes with an interval of 1 hour from 10 AM to 4 PM. The study was carried out in a naturally ventilated polyhouse to examine the variability of greenhouse microclimate depending upon the external climate during the summer season (April to June) and the effect of fog cooling system on the microclimate and its ability to maintain low temperature and high humidity conditions for the crop counteracting the adverse effects of external conditions. For this purpose, the data on temperature, relative humidity, light intensity and carbon dioxide concentration was collected at various location at four different time intervals of 8.30 AM, 12.30 PM, 4.30 PM and 8.30 PM.

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Description of the study area

The study was conducted in a naturally ventilated polyhouse (Figure 1) located at Dr. NTR College of Agricultural Engineering, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India at latitude 15°53'47" N and longitude 80°27'37" E which is 8 km away from Bay of Bengal and at an Altitude of 6 meters above the mean sea level. The dimensions of the polyhouse can be described as 20m in length, 12m in width and 2.5m height. The walls of polyhouse were made of HDPE Monofilament Yarn of 50 mesh size and the covering material was greenhouse film of 200 micron.



Figure 1 Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse

2.2 Data Collection

The data of microclimate includes the **components of temperature**, relative humidity, light intensity, and carbon dioxide concentration. The polyhouse was constructed facing the east, which lets the wind movement from south to north. The data points were collected accordingly, which were greatly influenced by wind distribution. Thus, the data was collected from the west wall (back wall) at a distance of 2.7 m and at an interval of 3.8m up to the east wall and from the north wall, the data was collected at a distance of 2.1m and at an interval of 2.8m up to the south wall. The data collection points were shown in the Figure 2. The temperature-relative humidity data were collected using a temperature and humidity data logger. The data of light intensity was collected using a digital lux meter. The amount of carbon dioxide present in the air was determined using a gas analyzer. The data was collected for 3 months April, May and June.




Figure 2 Data collection points

2.3 Data Analysis and Statistics Generation

Data analysis is the process of inspecting, cleansing, transforming and modeling data to discover useful information, inform conclusions and support decision-making. The data of the microclimatic parameters which was collected at various points and different intervals of time was imported in excel sheets. Qualitative and Quantitative data analyses were conducted to identify the patterns, trends and relationships among the collected microclimatic parameters.

For this, the data was imported in excel sheet on a daily basis. This data was then rearranged on the basis of daily microclimate at each point respectively. Then, this data was quantitatively analyzed by calculating the weekly averages of each parameter of every point. This average data was arranged such that it can be utilized to generate qualitative data of the microclimate of the polyhouse on a day at various locations at different time intervals.

2.4 Microclimate maps generation

Qualitative data analysis of greenhouse microclimate involves interpreting non-numerical data to gain insights into the complex interactions and spatial variations within the greenhouse environment. To investigate the microclimate variability of the greenhouse, Golden Surfer Software was adapted. Golden Software's Surfer is a powerful tool designed for data analysis, particularly in the context of geospatial data. It offers a range of features that facilitate the analysis, visualization and communication of complex datasets. By analyzing the spatial variability and heterogeneity of microclimatic parameters, researchers can assess the effectiveness of various greenhouse designs, ventilation systems or shading strategies in creating optimal conditions for crop production.

This restructured data was imported into the Golden Surfer Software, to create 2D visualization of the horizontal variability of the microclimate across the polyhouse. For this, the collected data was arranged as the points of a coordinate system, since it was a geostatistical analysis. Thus, the points of data collection were taken as (X, Y) points and the microclimatic parameters were taken as Z coordinate. This data generates spatial maps of microclimate which exhibits the spatial variability in the form of contours.

3.0 Results and Discussions

The microclimate data was imported in the Golden Surfer Software and contour maps were generated to analyze the spatial variability of the greenhouse microclimate across the polyhouse. The maps were generated for the weekly average data of the microclimatic parameters. The data was collected and analyzed for 13 weeks from April to June. The maximum and minimum values of microclimate parameters of the weekly averaged data were presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Maximum and Minimum values of Microclimate parameters of weekly averaged data

Week	Microclimate Parameters							
	Temperature		Relative Humidity		Light Intensity		CO ₂ concentration	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
1	36.87	28.77	99.9	60.45	27071.43	3273.33	0.24	0.20
2	36.92	26.94	99.9	69.61	31607.14	2621.43	0.23	0.20
3	36.73	28.41	99.9	58.94	33012.29	4023.00	0.23	0.21
4	37.69	29.04	99.9	59.75	17237.14	3589.48	0.24	0.21
5	37.57	28.86	99.9	60.64	14792.86	2584.76	0.24	0.21
6	37.20	29.14	99.9	58.85	13331.43	2623.71	0.24	0.21
7	37.60	29.27	99.9	60.71	16144.29	1694.86	0.24	0.22
8	38.43	29.76	98.34	59.39	18107.14	2635.90	0.24	0.21
9	36.92	29.91	99.9	62.49	13332.86	2069.24	0.24	0.19
10	37.15	28.90	98.29	61.5	18364.29	2770.62	0.24	0.20
11	37.84	29.98	99.9	60.16	16920.95	2932.62	0.24	0.19
12	36.28	29.73	98.29	62.19	19701.43	2153.57	0.24	0.18
13	37.71	29.88	97.5	96.03	23518.10	2389.86	0.25	0.21

For better understanding, the polyhouse was divided into east, west, north, south and central parts. The polyhouse is oriented East- West and the wind direction in the area was South to North. The evening sea breeze moves from south to north. The foggers were operated with a run time of 15 minutes at an interval of 1 hour. The operation of foggers helped to reduce the temperature and maintain the relative humidity at an optimal level inside the polyhouse. The spatial variability of microclimate in each week was represented in the form of contour plots. The contour plots of week 1 to 13 were represented in Figure 3 to Figure 15.

From Figure 3, it was observed that the temperature in the morning and afternoon varied in the similar pattern, high temperatures were observed in the south and central regions while low temperatures were observed in the north zones. Temperature during the evening was observed to be high in the western part followed by south and north parts and low temperature was observed in east part. During the night, high temperature was observed at the southern end and also the temperature was uniformly varied from the south end to the north end. The values of relative humidity were observed to be higher in the north end. Humidity was negatively correlated with temperature. In the evening, the relative humidity was high in the central region with nearly spaced contours representing the narrow range of humidity values. This variability of humidity can be attributed to the operation of foggers, due to which humidity was observed to be high in the central region and less variability across the polyhouse. Lower values of humidity were observed in the south end which can be taken as the immediate effect of the wind distribution outside the polyhouse. During the night, it was observed that the relative humidity was distributed almost uniformly throughout the polyhouse except some points in the south end. The light intensity was observed to be high in the central part during afternoon while it was observed to be higher in south and east ends during morning and northern and western ends during the evening and the light intensity in the central region was lower in both cases.

The amount of CO₂ present during the morning was observed to be distributed uniformly except at some points in the north end. While in the afternoon, it was observed to be high in the south part, average values in central region and lower values were observed near the south, west and east walls of the polyhouse. During evening it was observed to be high in central part with nearly spaced contours with decreasing values towards the walls representing narrow range of variation. During night, the irregular contour patterns indicate the variation of CO₂ concentration across the polyhouse with lower values at the eastern end and increasing contours towards the western region. The changes in the contour patterns can be attributed to the wind velocity patterns and air circulation.




Figure 3 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 1 inside the polyhouse

From Figure 4, it was revealed that similar trends were observed as week 1, except for a few changes. It was observed that light intensity and humidity were slightly greater than that of week 1 while CO₂ concentration was slightly decreased from week 1 to week 2.

From the Figure 5, it was found that the temperature and CO₂ distribution trends are similar to Week 1 and 2, while relative humidity in the morning was low in the south west part alone compared to the other parts of polyhouse with contours of narrow range distributed uniformly. Slight variability was observed in the distribution of sunlight having increased intensities compared to that of week 2.

It was observed that the variation of light intensity and CO₂ concentration of week 4 was in similar trend as that of week 2 with slightly reduced values as shown in Fig. 6. While the temperature was observed to be lower than that of week 3 with lower temperatures spread across the polyhouse with higher values near the south and west walls. And the relative humidity was observed to be spread inversely proportional to the observed temperature contours.

From Figure 7, it was observed that the morning temperature was distributed irregularly forming cooler region in the central part and warmer parts near the walls. It was also observed that afternoon temperature varies similar to week 2 and the evening and night temperatures, humidity, light intensity and CO₂ concentration were found to vary in similar trend with week 4 with declination in the observed values.

From Figure 8, it was observed that except relative humidity all the other microclimate parameters were in similar trend with week 5. The relative humidity was observed to be low in all the cases dividing the polyhouse into humid and non-humid regions with reduced values and irregular distribution across the polyhouse.

From Figure 9, the temperature and light intensity distribution was observed to be in similar trend with week 4. The CO₂ concentration was observed to follow the trend of week 5. The relative humidity in the morning was observed to be high in west part of the polyhouse compared to that of east part.

Light intensity and CO₂ concentration distribution of week 8 across the polyhouse was observed with slight increment in the numerical values of week 7. While the temperature and relative humidity exhibit similar trends with week 3 with increased values (Figure 10).




Figure 4 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 2 inside the polyhouse




Figure 5 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 3 inside the polyhouse




Figure 6 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 4 inside the polyhouse




Figure 7 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 5 inside the polyhouse




Figure 8 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 6 inside the polyhouse




Figure 9 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 7 inside the polyhouse




Figure 10 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 8 inside the polyhouse

From Figure 11, it was revealed that the microclimate parameters were in similar trend with week 6 except in the case of morning temperature and relative humidity. The temperatures in the morning and afternoon varied irregularly forming slightly warmer regions in the central part. And the humidity varied inversely with temperature.

The temperature, light intensity and CO₂ concentration of week 10 varied with a similar trend of week 8. The relative humidity in the morning and evening was similar, forming humid region in the central part of the polyhouse (Figure 12). In the night, humidity was high near the east, south and north walls forming a 'W' contour with lower values between the walls and central line. The microclimate parameters in the morning and afternoon were in similar trend with that of week 5. While, the evening and night microclimate parameters were in similar trend with that of week 6 with slightly increased values (Figure 13).

Form Figure 14, it was revealed that the light intensity and CO₂ concentration were in similar trend with week 11. The temperature in the morning was observed to be uniformly spread with low values across the polyhouse with humidity inversely proportional to the temperature. Whereas the temperature and relative humidity in other intervals followed similar trend with week 10.

The last week, it was observed that the microclimatic parameters other than temperature exhibited similar trend with week 7, but the distribution of microclimate in the night time was in similar trend with week 12. The temperature was observed to follow the temperature distribution in week 2, but with increased values.

From the generated contour plots, the general observations included that the temperature and relative humidity contours were changing from south to north. The pattern of these contours can be attributed to the wind velocity, distribution and air circulation. Kittas *et al.*, (1996) also reported that the ventilation influences temperature, humidity and CO₂ concentration, which directly affect the growth and development of crops. The south end of the poly house was immediately affected by the wind movement and thus high temperatures and low relative humidity levels were observed compared to that of the north end. Ganesan (2002) also reported that the lower relative humidity inside the greenhouse may be due to proper ventilation.




Figure 11 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 9 inside the polyhouse




Figure 12 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 10 inside the polyhouse




Figure 13 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 11 inside the polyhouse




Figure 14 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 12 inside the polyhouse




Figure 15 Spatial variation of microclimate in week 13 inside the polyhouse

The maintenance of relative humidity in the optimal range for plant growth was made possible due to the effect of fog cooling system. It can be said that the Fog cooling system helped to maintain optimal conditions in a naturally ventilated polyhouse (Misra and Ghosh 2017),

while increasing wind speed and outside relative humidity tended to counteract the cooling and humidification effects of fogging system (Li *et al.*, 2005). Since, the current study focused on the spatial variability of microclimatic parameters, the efficiency and workability of the fog cooling system was not discussed. The light intensity was observed to be high at the south and east ends in mornings and at the north and west ends in the evenings. This variability can be attributed to the orientation of the polyhouse and the position of sun. Gupta *et al.* (2020) also claimed that the light intensity depends upon the factors like sunshine hour, latitude, orientation and geometry of the greenhouse and types of cladding material used. In the case of carbon dioxide concentration, it was observed that it was high during the night time which can be interpreted as a result of crop respiration. This was supported with the study conducted by Reza *et al.* (2023) reporting that the CO₂ content grew monotonically as a result of plant respiration. During the day time, the carbon dioxide concentration can be said to be affected by the crop transpiration, photosynthesis, temperature and light intensity.

The current study indicated that the use of foggers inside the polyhouse is beneficial. However, the impact of wind on the walls leads to an uneven distribution of the microclimate. This study helps to understand the variability of microclimate across the polyhouse and thus adapt the potential control strategies along with fogging system to obtain uniform microclimate inside the polyhouse. Finally, from the study, it was observed that the microclimate varies spatially and temporally depending on various factors. Even though, the data was collected in different heights, there exists the necessity to study in third dimension also.

Thus, it is necessary to study the vertical gradients of microclimate along with horizontal variability to completely understand the microclimate variability with space and time and its effect on crop growth. This highlights the need for 3D analysis of greenhouse microclimate by adapting the modelling tools and techniques. A wide range of modellings tools are available for greenhouse microclimate of which CFD and Machine learning models are in prominent use. Considering the requirements of 3D modeling and available resources, CFD is more suitable for understanding the microclimate of naturally ventilated polyhouse considered in the present study.

Conclusion

The current study was carried out to analyze the spatial variability of the microclimate inside the greenhouse. In the present study, the data related to the microclimate was recorded during the summer season, from April to June. The variation in microclimate parameters was

then represented using surfer plots. From the plots, it was observed that the temperature and relative humidity varied inversely proportional to each other. The effect of foggers depended upon wind direction and velocity. It was observed that the microclimate inside a naturally ventilated polyhouse was distributed heterogeneously which have a direct impact on crop growth. This study looks at how the climate inside a polyhouse changes in different areas, so we can better manage and control it to make the conditions more even. The findings suggest we need to examine how the climate varies not just horizontally, but also vertically, to fully understand how it affects plant growth by using 3D models to analyze the greenhouse climate.

References:

- Bisht, B., Singh, M. P., Srivastava, B. K and Singh, P. K. 2011. Performance of cucumber varieties in a naturally ventilated polyhouse. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 68 (4): 575-577
- Challa, L.P., Singh, C.D., Rao, K.V and Kumar, M. 2022. Micro-Climatic Variations in Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Under Cucumber Cultivation. *Indian Journal of Ecology*. 49(6): 2194-2201.
- Ganesan, M., 2002. Effect of poly-greenhouse on plant micro climate and fruit yield of tomato. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 15(4): 750-752.
- Gupta, D., Santosh, D.T., Debnath, S., Maitra, S and Gaikwad, D. 2020. Modeling and simulation application for greenhouse microclimatic studies and structural analysis. *Protected Cultivation and Smart Agriculture; Maitra, S., Gaikwad, DJ, Shankar, T., Eds*, pp.300-312.
- Jerszurki, D., Saadon, T., Zhen, J., Agam, N., Tas, E., Rachmilevitch, S and Lazarovitch, N. 2021. Vertical microclimate heterogeneity and dew formation in semi-closed and naturally ventilated tomato greenhouses. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 288: 110271.
- Kittas, C., Boulard, T., Mermier, M., Papadakis, G. 1996. Wind induced air exchange rates in a greenhouse tunnel with continuous side openings. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 65:37-49.
- Li, S., Willits, D.H. and Yunker, C., 2005. Experimental Study of Fogging System in Naturally Ventilated Greenhouses. In *2005 ASAE Annual Meeting* (p. 1). American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers.

- Maitra, S., Shankar, T., Palai, J.B., Sairam, M., Pal, A and Gaikwad, D.J. 2020. Cultivation of Gerbera in polyhouse, In: *Protected Cultivation and Smart Agriculture*, New Delhi Publishers, India. 219–226.
- Mashonjowa E, Ronsse F, Milford JR, et al. (2013). Modelling the thermal performance of a naturally ventilated greenhouse in Zimbabwe using a dynamic greenhouse climate model. *Solar Energy*. 91: 381–393.
- Misra, D. and Ghosh, S., 2017. Microclimatic modeling and analysis of a fog-cooled naturally ventilated greenhouse. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 2(2), pp.997-1002.
- Reza, M.N., Islam, M.N., Iqbal, M.Z., Kabir, M.S.N., Chowdhury, M., Gulandaz, M.A., Ali, M., Jang, M.K and Chung, S.O. 2023. Spatial, Temporal, and Vertical Variability of Ambient Environmental Conditions in Chinese Solar Greenhouses during Winter. *Applied Sciences*, 13(17), p.9835.
- Santosh, D.T., Natraj, K., Kumar, K.S. and Kumar, K.P. 2023. Optimizing Microclimate Control in Polyhouses for Enhanced Crop Growth and Productivity.
- Santosh, D.T. and Maitra, S. (2021). Estimation of irrigation water requirement of zucchini squash (*cucurbita pepo* L.) Under protected cultivation structures and in open field conditions. *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences*. 12(69): 37380–37385.
- Soni P, Salokhe VM, Tantau HJ (2005). Effect of screen mesh size on vertical temperature distribution in naturally ventilated tropical greenhouses. *Biosystems Engineering*. 92: 469–482
- Suay, R., López, S., Granell, R., Moltó, E., Fatnassi, H and Boulard, T. 2008. Preliminary analysis of greenhouse microclimate heterogeneity for different weather conditions. In *International Workshop on Greenhouse Environmental Control and Crop Production in Semi-Arid Regions*. 797: 103-109.
- Suresh, B., Nagaraju, D., Navaneetha, E., Ravali, B and Naveen, A. 2022. Evaluation of microclimate for cucumber production under polyhouse in Sangareddy District of Telangana. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 12(12): 776-784.

Villagran, E and Bojacá, C. 2020. Analysis of the microclimatic behavior of a greenhouse used to produce carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.). *Ornamental Horticulture*. 26: 190-204.

UNDER PEER REVIEW